Evaluation of Striped Bass Stocks in Virginia: Monitoring and Tagging Studies, 2004-2008

Annual Report

Contract Number:

F-77-R-18

Project Period:

1 September 2004 - 31 August 2005

Principal Investigator:

John M. Hoenig

Prepared by:

Philip W. Sadler, John M. Hoenig, Robert E. Harris, Jr., and B. Gail Holloman

Department of Fisheries Science School of Marine Science Virginia Institute of Marine Science The College of William and Mary Gloucester Point, VA 23062-1346

Submitted To:

Virginia Marine Resources Commission P.O. Box 756 Newport News, VA 23607-0756

15 December, 2005

Preface

This report presents the results of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) tagging and monitoring activities in Virginia during the period 1 September 2004 through 31 August 2005. It includes an assessment of the biological characteristics of striped bass taken from the 2005 spring spawning run, estimates of annual survival based on annual spring tagging, and the results of the fall 2004 directed mortality study that is a collaborative effort with the Maryland Department of Natural Resources. The information contained in this report is required by the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission and is used to implement a coordinated management plan for striped bass in Virginia, and along the eastern seaboard.

Striped bass have historically supported one of the most important recreational and commercial fisheries along the Atlantic coast. In colonial times, striped bass were abundant in most coastal rivers from New Brunswick to Georgia, but overfishing, pollution and reduction of spawning habitat have resulted in periodic crashes in stocks and an overall reduction of biomass (Merriman 1941, Pearson 1938). Striped bass populations at the northern and southern extremes of the Atlantic are apparently non-migratory (Raney 1957). Presently, important sources of striped bass in their native range are found in the Roanoke, Delaware and Hudson rivers and the major tributaries of Chesapeake Bay (Lewis 1957) with the Chesapeake Bay and Hudson River being the primary sources of the coastal migratory population (Dorazio *et al.* 1994).

Examination of meristic characteristics indicate that the coastal migratory population consists of distinct sub-populations from the Hudson River, James River, Rappahannock - York rivers, and upper Chesapeake Bay (Raney 1957). The Roanoke River striped bass may represent another distinct sub-population (Raney 1957). The relative contribution of each area to the coastal population varies. Berggren and Lieberman (1978) concluded from a morphological study that Chesapeake Bay striped bass were the major contributor (90.8%) to the Atlantic coast fisheries, and the Hudson River and Roanoke River stocks were minor contributors. However, they estimated that the exceptionally strong 1970 year class constituted 40% of their total sample. Van Winkle *et al.* (1988) estimated that the Hudson River stock constituted 40% - 50% of the striped bass caught in the Atlantic coastal fishery in 1965. Regardless of the exact proportion, management of striped bass is a multi-jurisdictional concern as spawning success in one area probably influences fishing success in many areas. Furthermore, recent evidence suggests the presence of divergent migratory behavior at intra-population levels (Secor 1999). The extent to which these levels of behavioral complexity impact management strategies in Chesapeake Bay and other stocks is unknown.

Concern about the decline in striped bass landings along the Atlantic coast since the mid1970s prompted the development of an interstate fisheries management plan (FMP) under the
auspices of the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Management Program (ASMFC 1981). Federal
legislation was enacted in 1984 (Public Law 98-613, the Atlantic Striped Bass Conservation Act)
which enables Federal imposition of a moratorium for an indefinite period in those states that fail
to comply with the coast-wide plan. To be in compliance with the plan, coastal states have
imposed restrictions on their commercial and recreational striped bass fisheries ranging from

combinations of catch quotas, size limits, closed periods and year-round moratoriums. Due to an improvement in spawning success, as judged by increases in annual values of the Maryland juvenile index, a limited fishery was established in fall, 1990. This transitional fishery existed until 1995 when spawning stock biomass reached sufficiently healthy levels (Field 1997). ASMFC subsequently declared Chesapeake Bay stocks to have reached benchmark levels and adopted Amendment 5 to the original FMP that allowed expanded state fisheries.

To document continued compliance with Federal law, the Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS) has monitored the size and age composition, sex ratio and maturity schedules of the spawning striped bass stock in the Rappahannock River since December 1981 utilizing commercial pound nets and, since 1991, variable-mesh experimental gill nets. Spawning stock assessment was expanded to include the James River in 1994 utilizing commercial fyke nets and variable-mesh experimental gill nets. An experimental fyke net was established in the James River to assess its potential as a source for tagging striped bass. The use of fyke nets was discontinued after 1997. In conjunction with the monitoring studies, tagging programs have been conducted in the James and Rappahannock rivers since 1987. These studies were established to document the migration and relative contribution of these Chesapeake Bay stocks to the coastal population and to provide a means to estimate annual survival rates (S). With the reestablishment of fall recreational fisheries in 1993, the tagging studies were expanded to include the York River and western Chesapeake Bay to provide a direct estimation of the resultant fishing mortality (F).

Acknowledgments

We are deeply indebted to many people for their participation and/or contributions to the striped bass tagging and spawning stock assessment program. These include: the Anadromous Fishes Program staff; Fisheries Department students of the Virginia Institute of Marine Science Aaron Aunin, Chip Cotton, Donna Grusha, Patrick McGrath, Sarah Muffleman, Jason Romine, Vince Saba, Troy Tuckey and John Walter; the cooperating commercial fishermen Allan Ingraham, Ernest George, Joe Hinson, Raymond Kellum, Kevin Morris, Stanley Oliff, and Greg Swift; Jim Goins of the Virginia Institute of Marine Science and Harry Hornick and Beth Versak of Maryland Department of Natural Resources (Md DNR).

Executive Summary

New Features:

Sections IV and V, evaluating the pound net based Spawning Stock Biomass Index and its potential as an appropriate input model for the Virtual Population Analysis, and section VI, evaluating the striped bass by-catch from staked gill nets used for American shad monitoring in the James and Rappahannock rivers, are new in 2005. The life history chapter in section I was expanded to include the 1997 year class.

I. Assessment of the spawning stocks of striped bass in the Rappahannock and James rivers, Virginia, spring 2005.

Catch Summaries:

- 1. In 2005, 617 striped bass were sampled between 30 March and 3 May from two commercial pound nets in the Rappahannock River. The samples were predominantly male (72.1%) and young (56.9% ages 3-5). Females dominated the age nine and older age classes (85.8%). The mean age of the male striped bass was 4.5 years. The mean age of the female striped bass was 9.7 years.
- 2. During the 30 March 3 May period, the 2000 and 2001 year classes were the most abundant in the Rappahannock River pound net samples and were 96.2% male. The contribution of age eight and older males was only 9.2% of the total catch. Age eight and older females, presumably repeat spawners, were 25.1% of the total catch but represented 87.6% of all females caught.
- 3. In 2005, 322 striped bass were sampled between 30 March and 3 May in two experimental anchor gill nets in the Rappahannock River. The samples were predominantly male (91.6%) and young (89.8% ages 3-5). Females dominated the age nine and older age classes (66.7%). The mean age of the male striped bass was 4.3 years. The mean age of the female striped bass was 7.4 years.
- 4. During the 30 March 3 May period, the 2000 and 2001 year classes were the most abundant in the Rappahannock River gill net samples and were 99.5% male. The contribution of age eight and older males was only 7.1% of the total catch. Age eight and older females, presumably repeat spawners, were 6.8% of the total catch but were 71.0% of the total females caught.
- 5. In 2005, 820 striped bass were sampled between 30 March and 3 May in two experimental anchor gill nets (mile 62) in the James River. The samples were predominantly male (96.3%) and young (75.7% ages 3-5). Females dominated the age ten and older age classes (68.8%). The mean age of the male striped bass was 4.5 years. The mean age of the female striped bass was 6.9 years.

6. During the 30 March - 3 May period, the 2000-2003 year classes were the most abundant in the James River gill net samples and were 99.2% male. The contribution of age eight and older males was only 4.3% of the total catch. Age eight and older females, presumably repeat spawners, were 3.4% of the total catch but represented 70.0% of all females caught.

Spawning Stock Biomass Indexes (SSBI)

- 7. The Spawning Stock Biomass Index (SSBI) from the Rappahannock River pound nets was 26.4 kg/day for male striped bass and 39.0 kg/day for female striped bass. The male index was the fifth highest in the 1991-2005 time series and above the 15-year average. However, the 2005 index was less than the index for 2004. The female index was also the fifth highest in the time series and above the 15-year average, but was lower than the indexes for 2003 or 2004.
- 8. The SSBI for the Rappahannock River gill nets was 55.6 kg/day for male striped bass and 19.9 kg/day for female striped bass. The male index was the fifth lowest in the 1991-2005 time series and well below the 15-year average. The female index was the sixth lowest in the 1991-2005 time series and was also well below the 15-year average.
- 9. The SSBI for the James River gill nets was 147.7 kg/day for male striped bass and 21.6 kg/day for female striped bass. The male index was the fifth highest in the 1994-2005 time series, and was above the 12-year average. The female index was the lowest in the 12-year time series and was the third consecutive year of decline.

Egg Production Potential Indexes (EPPI)

- 10. An index of potential egg production was derived from laboratory estimates of weight- and length-specific numbers of oocytes in the ovaries of mature females. The 2005 Egg Production Potential Index (EPPI, millions of eggs/day) for the Rappahannock River pound nets was 6.3 million eggs/day. This was the median EPPI of the 2001-2005 time series. Older (8+ years) female stripers were responsible for 93.2% of the index.
- 11. The 2005 EPPI for the Rappahannock River gill nets was 3.1 million eggs/day. This was the lowest EPPI of the 2001-2005 time series and was half the 2003 maximum index. Older (8+years) female striped bass were responsible for 90.3% of the index.

12. The 2005 EPPI for the James River gill nets was 3.2 million eggs/day. This was the lowest EPPI of the 2001-2005 time series and was less than half the 2003 maximum index. Older (8+ years) female striped bass were responsible for 88.3% of the index.

Estimates of Annual Survival (S) based on age-specific catch rates

- 13. The cumulative catch rate (all age classes, sexes combined) from the Rappahannock River pound nets (17.6 fish/day) was the median in the 1991-2005 time series. There was a decrease in the 1989-2000 year classes from the 2004 values. The cumulative catch rate of male striped bass (12.7 fish/day) was the median in the time series but was almost half the rate in 2004. The cumulative catch rate of female striped bass (5.0 fish/day) was also the median in the 1991-2005 time series but less than half the rate in 2004.
- 14. Year class-specific estimates of annual survival (S) for pound net data varied widely between years. The geometric mean S of the 1983-1997 year classes varied from 0.501-0.757 (mean = 0.643). The geometric mean survival rates differed greatly between sexes. Mean survival rates for male stripers (1985-1997 year classes) varied from 0.317-0.577 (mean = 0.446) but mean survival rates of female stripers (1983-1991 year classes) varied from 0.587-0.723 (mean = 0.659).
- 15. The cumulative catch rate (all age classes, sexes combined) from Rappahannock River gill nets (32.2 fish/day) was the second lowest value in the 1991-2005 time series, and 63.0% lower than in 2004. Cumulative catch rate of male stripers (29.5 fish/day) was also the second lowest in the time series and 62.8% lower than the rate in 2004. The cumulative catch rate of female striped bass (2.7 fish/day) was the lowest in the time series less than half the catch rate in 2004.
- 16. Year class-specific estimates of annual survival for gill net data varied widely between years. The geometric mean S of the 1984-1997 year classes varied from 0.408-0.659 (mean = 0.520). The mean survival rates for male stripers (1987-1997) varied from 0.150-0.520 (mean = 0.376). The mean survival rates for female stripers (1984-1990) varied from 0.501-0.669 (mean = 0.582).
- 17. The cumulative catch rate (all age classes, sexes combined) from James River (mile 62) gill nets (82.0 fish/day) was the seventh highest catch rate in the 1994-2005 time series, but was the lowest index since 1999. The catch rate was 37.7% lower than the rate in 2004. The cumulative catch rate for male striped bass (79.0 fish/day) was also the seventh highest of the 1994-2005 time series, but was 37.8% lower than the rate in 2004. The cumulative catch rate of female striped bass (3.0 fish/day) was 34.2% lower than the rate in 2004 and was the lowest in the time series.

18. Year class-specific estimates of annual survival in the James River varied widely between years. The geometric mean S of the 1984-1997 year classes varied from 0.347-0.686 (mean = 0.537). The mean survival rates of male stripers (1988-1997 year classes) varied from 0.286-0.562 (mean = 0.421). The mean survival rates of female stripers (1984-1995 year classes) varied from 0.347-0.775 (mean = 0.562).

Catch rate histories of the 1987-1997 year classes

- 19. Plots of year class-specific catch rates vs. year in the James and Rappahannock rivers from 1991-2004 showed a consistent trend of a peak in the abundance of male striped bass followed by a steep decline. There was also a secondary peak of (mostly) female striped bass, usually around age 10.
- 20. The areas under the catch curves indicate that the 1987-1989, 1993 and 1996 year classes were the strongest, and the 1990 and 1991 year classes the weakest in the Rappahannock River from 1987-1997. In the James River, the 1995-1997 year classes were the strongest and 1987 and 1988 year classes the weakest.

Growth rate of striped bass derived from annuli measurements

- 21. The scales of 246 striped bass were digitally measured and the increments between annuli were used to determine their growth history.
- 22. On average, striped bass grow about 141 mm fork length in their first year. The growth rate decreases with age to about 50 mm per year by age 10.
- 23. Striped bass were estimated to reach the minimum legal length for the resident fishery (18 in. total length) at age 3.5 and reach the minimum length for the coastal fishery (28 in. total length) at age seven.

Age determinations using scales and otoliths

- 24. A total of 247 specimens from 11 size ranges were aged by reading both scales and otoliths. The mean age of the otolith-aged striped bass was 0.15 years older than from the scale-aged striped bass. The two methodologies agreed on the age of the striped bass on 42.1% of the specimens and within one year 81.8% of the time.
- 25. Tests of symmetry applied to the age matrix indicated that the two ageing methodologies were not interchangeable (p= 0.0048). The age at which the divergence in ages became apparent was determined to be age seven.

- 26. Otoliths were 1.47 times more likely to give an older age than the scale from the same specimen. The otoliths were 2.46 times more likely to produce a higher age difference of two or more years than to produce a lower age.
- 27. A paired t-test of the mean of the age differences produced by the two ageing methodologies found that the mean difference was significantly different from zero (p=0.0027).
- 28. A Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of the age structures produced by the two ageing methodologies also indicated an overall significant difference, indicating that the two resultant age structures did not represent an equivalent population. The differential ageing between the two methodologies on the age-nine striped bass was the source of the significant difference.

II. Mortality estimates of striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*) that spawn in the Rappahannock River, Virginia, spring 2004-2005.

- 1. A total of 921 striped bass were tagged and released from pound nets in the Rappahannock River between 28 March and 16 May, 2005. Of this total, 637 were between 457-710 mm total length and considered to be predominantly resident striped bass and 284 were considered to be predominantly migrant striped bass (>710 mm TL). The median date of the tag releases was 28 April for both the resident and the migrant striped bass.
- 2. A total of 80 (out of 1,447) resident striped bass (>457 mm TL), tagged during spring 2004, were recaptured between 19 April, 2004 and 27 April, 2005 (the respective midpoints of the two tag release totals), and were used to estimate mortality. Forty-five of these recaptures were harvested (56.3%) and the rest were re-released into the population. In addition, 62 striped bass tagged in previous springs were recaptured during the recovery interval and were used to complete the input data matrix. Most recaptures (59.9%) were caught within Chesapeake Bay (41.5% in Virginia, 18.3% in Maryland). However, other recaptures came from New York (12.7%), Massachusetts (11.3%), New Jersey (4.2%), Rhode Island and North Carolina (3.5 % each), Delaware (2.8%), Connecticut (1.4%) and New Hampshire (0.4%).

- 3. A total of 39 (out of 686) migratory striped bass (>710 mm total length), tagged during spring 2004, were recaptured between 19 April, 2004 and 27 April, 2005, and were used to estimate the mortality. Twenty-one of these recaptures were harvested (53.8%), and the rest were re-released into the population. In addition, 39 striped bass tagged in previous springs were recaptured during the recovery interval and were used to complete the input data matrix. Most recaptures (30.8%) came from Chesapeake Bay (24.4%in Virginia, 6.4% in Maryland). Other recaptures came from New York (23.1%), Massachusetts (20.5%), New Jersey and Rhode Island (6.4% each), North Carolina (5.1%), Delaware (3.8%), Connecticut (2.6%) and New Hampshire (1.3%).
- 4. The ASFMC Striped Bass Tagging Subcommittee established a data analysis protocol that involves deriving survival estimates from a suite of Seber models. Thirteen of these models were applied to the recapture matrix, each reflecting a different parameterization over time. Models that allowed parameters to be both time-specific and constant across time were specified. The model-averaged estimates of the bias-adjusted survival rates for migrant striped bass ranged from 0.606-0.658 over the time series. The 2004 survival rate was the highest overall, otherwise survival was highest during the transitional fishery and decreased slightly thereafter. This trend was the result of a higher proportion of annual tag recoveries being released back into the population in the early 1990's relative to more recent years. The corresponding estimates of fishing mortality (assuming natural mortality is 0.15) ranged from 0.115-0.335 and only infrequently, and by slight margins, exceeded the fisheries target values.
- 5. Elements of the Rappahannock River tag-recovery matrix for resident striped bass did not allow these models to adequately fit the data. The low total number of tagged striped bass and resultant recaptures reported from the 1994 and 1996 cohorts (e.g., five from the 1996 cohort) relative to other years may account for the poor fit of the time-specific models. Unfortunately, numerical complications resulting from low sample size caused some of the more biologically reasonable models to not fit the Rappahannock River data well.

III. Fishing mortality estimates in the fall, 2004, resident striped bass fishery in Chesapeake Bay, Virginia.

 The fall 2004 striped bass recreational season (1 June - 31 November in Maryland, 4 October - 31 December in Virginia) in Chesapeake Bay was divided into six rounds in Maryland and three rounds in Virginia (20-29 September, 18-27 October and 18-26 November). Each recovery round was approximately 30 days in duration.

- 2. Striped bass were tagged and released during ten-day intervals prior to the start of each recovery round and the recaptures that occurred within that round were used for analysis. Adjustments were made for tag loss, mortality and for mixing of the newly tagged fish into the population.
- 3. A total of 3,434 striped bass were tagged in Virginia. The number of stripers tagged and released were 899, 1,383 and 1,152 respectively for the three tagging rounds. The striped bass tagged in all three rounds were predominantly from the 2000 and 2001 year classes.
- 4. A total of 145 striped bass tagged in Virginia were recaptured by 31 December. Of these recaptures, 93 were recaptured within their round of release. Most recaptures occurred in their area of release, but recaptures were also recovered from the Chesapeake Bay in Maryland, Potomac River and in the Atlantic Ocean.
- 5. The Chesapeake Bay estimate of total fishing mortality (F) was 0.16. This is the sum of non-harvest (0.10) and harvest (0.06) mortality estimates. The target F for Chesapeake Bay is 0.28.

IV. Striped bass spawning stock assessment in the Rappahannock River, Virginia: evaluation of the pound net-based Spawning Stock Biomass Index.

- 1. Pound nets in the Rappahannock River have been the sample source for striped bass spawning stock assessment since 1991. Pound nets are considered to be non-size or sex selective.
- 2. The pound nets are fixed gear and are privately owned and operated for commercial purposes. Thus, while we have an excellent working relationship with the fisherman, we do not have absolute control over when or how the gear is fished.
- 3. A total of 7,426 striped bass have been sampled from among four pound nets within the striped bass spawning grounds in the Rappahannock River between 30 March and 3 May, 1991-2004. The resultant Spawning Stock Biomass Indexes (SSBI) ranged from 18.5 (2002) to 123.9 (2004) with a mean of 52.9. In most years the female biomass exceeded the male biomass.
- 4. Age and sex-specific catch per unit effort data reliably tracked strong and weak year classes and provided estimates of annual survival.
- 5. Each pound net sample consisted of the total catch of striped bass from that net so no estimates of variance are made.

Comparison of the temporal window with full seasonal data

- 6. The 30 March 3 May temporal window resulted from variability in the beginning and ending of sampling prior to 1999. The sampling season had begun as early as 9 March (1998) and as late as 7 April (1994). The season had ended as early as 21 April and as late as 3 May.
- 7. Pound net samples from March were male dominated (7.7:1) relative to the 30 March 3 May temporal window (3.2:1). Hence, the window corresponded to the period of increased abundance of female striped bass in the spawning areas, and differentially including March samples would greatly affect the value of the seasonal female CPUE and compromise its use as an index.

Comparison of VIMS pound net index data with VIMS juvenile index data

- 8. No definitive relationship between river flow and the SSBI was apparent. In 1992 and 1996, weather conditions were persistently wetter and cooler than normal. The fishermen reported that the striped bass spawning area was displaced well below our sampling sites. In both these years catches across all age classes were lower than in the previous year. In 2002, a persistent drought produced a similar pattern.
- 9. The strength of the spawning stock was not an indicator of the strength of that year's juvenile index. However, years with high mean flows or high peak flows had higher juvenile indexes while years with low mean or peak flows had low juvenile indexes.
- 10. Plots of the abundance of 11 and 12 year-old striped bass most closely correlated with their respective Rappahannock River juvenile indexes (eg., the abundance of 1994 year class striped bass in 2005 verses the 1994 juvenile index). The correlations of younger age classes produced weaker results

Comparison of the Rappahannock River and Virginia juvenile indexes

- 11. The juvenile indexes for the Rappahannock River generally tracked the comprehensive Virginia juvenile index. However, in 1987 and 1992, the juvenile indexes indicated exceptionally strong year classes in the Rappahannock River, but only moderately strong year classes in Virginia. The two year classes have been major contributors to the Spawning Stock Biomass Index and would not be expected to correlate highly to the Virginia juvenile index.
- 12. The Rappahannock River is the smallest component in the comprehensive Virginia juvenile index (York River, 37.9%, James River, 33.2% and Rappahannock River, 28.9%).

Comparison of the Rappahannock River SSBI and the Maryland juvenile indexes

- 13. The 1987 and 1992 Maryland juvenile indexes were also weaker relative to the strength of the Rappahannock River juvenile indexes. In fact there were no major peaks in the Maryland juvenile index from 1980-1992. Thus there is no expectation that the SSBI would correlate to the Maryland juvenile index during that period.
- 14. From 1993 to present the juvenile indexes from the Rappahannock River, Virginia and Maryland have indicated repeated strong year classes, most notably in 1993, 1996 and 2003. Thus potential correlation among the indexes is possible in future years.

V. Comparison of the catches of the Rappahannock River pound nets, and the correlation of the Virginia Spawning Stock Biomass Indexes to the Maryland gill net indexes.

1. From 1991 to 1996 there were only two pound nets (S441 and S473) available for obtaining striped bass monitoring samples from the spawning grounds in the Rappahannock River. A third net (S462) was added in 1997 and the fourth net (S454) began operation 1999. In 2001, the fisherman discontinued fishing one net (S441).

Comparison of the contributions of the four Rappahannock River pound nets to the Spawning Stock Biomass Index.

- 2. Catches of both male and female striped bass were generally highest from net S473 and lowest from net S441. Although nets S454 and S462 were ampled much less frequently, their catches were similar to net S473.
- 3. There was no consistent difference among the mean ages of the males or the female striped bass captured from the four pound nets.
- 4. To maximize the available data, the catches of the nets when fished on the same date, but used as a source for tagging striped bass were used to compare to the net used for monitoring. The catches of net S473 (the net with the longest, most consistent catch record) were then correlated to the catches of each of the other three nets when fished on the same date.
- 5. The catches of male striped bass from the other three nets had a positive correlation to the catches of net S473. The values of R^2 ranged from 0.58-0.64. The narrow range of the R^2 values indicates that, over time, substituting these nets for each other would yield similar results if scaled for the lower catch rates of net S441.

6. The catches of female striped bass from the other three nets also had a positive correlation to the catches of net S474. The values of R^2 ranged from 0.47-0.57 While these values are lower than for male striped bass, the narrow range indicates that substituting these nets for each other would still yield similar results if properly scaled.

Correlation of the Rappahannock River Spawning Stock Biomass Index with the Maryland gill net spawning stock index.

7. There was a negative correlation between the female pound net Rappahannock River Spawning Stock Biomass Index and the Maryland female gill net spawning stock biomass index. Although the low values of the Rappahannock River index in 1996 and 2002 were probably the result of extreme environmental conditions, there was little similarity in the temporal distribution between the two indexes.

Assessment of the Rappahannock River Spawning Stock Biomass Index as input in the VPA model.

- 8. Although there have been changes in the set of pound nets sampled over time, there is a notable correlation among the catches of the different nets, suggesting that the various nets are tracking the same population and the signal to noise ratio is high.
- 9. The lack of relationship between the Virginia and Maryland indexes suggest that the Virginia (actually Rappahannock River) and Maryland populations are different. Hence, both sets of data may be needed to get a representative picture of striped bass dynamics in Chesapeake Bay.

VI. Evaluation of the 2000-2004 striped bass by-catch from the American shad staked gill net stock assessment survey in the James and Rappahannock rivers as an alternative index of abundance.

1. Stake gill nets have been used to assess American shad stocks in the James, York and Rappahannock rivers since 1998. The staked gill net in the James River is located at river mile 10 and is 900 feet in length (30 30-foot panels) of 4.88 inch monofilament. The staked gill net in the Rappahannock River is located at river mile 37 and is 912 feet in length (19 48-foot panels) of 5.0 inch monofilament. These stands are remnants of the now dormant American shad fishery and are among the nets used to provide historical catch records to the Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS).

- 2. The striped bass by-catch in the nets has been enumerated and the whole or a subsample from randomly chosen panels was brought to VIMS for biological work-up. Data recorded include total length (mm), weight (g), sex and age as determined from reading the scales from impressions made into acetate sheets. The length data are investigated in this report as an alternative index of abundance in comparison with experimental, multi-mesh anchor gill nets used for striped bass spawning stock assessment in the James and Rappahannock rivers.
- 3. Catch rates (fish/day) of striped bass by one inch total length increments (18-24 inches) were compared and plotted. The correlation equation of the data pairs was calculated and the R^2 value determined.
- 4. For the James River, the 18, 19 and 20 inch correlations between the two gears were positive, but were inconsistent, alternating between positive and negative values for the 21-24 inch striped bass. The R^2 values were 0.60, 0.72 and 0.26 for striped bass of 18, 19 and 20 inches respectively.
- 5. For the Rappahannock River, only the 23 inch striped bass had more than slightly positive correlation between the catch rates of the two gears. These results do not support the idea that the two gears are tracking the same population.

Table of Contents

Preface	ii-iii.
Acknowledgments	
Executive Summary.	
List of Tables.	
List of Figures.	
	• **** (** ******
I. Assessment of the spawning stocks of striped bass in the Rappahannock	and
James rivers, Virginia, spring 2005	
Introduction	
Materials and Methods	
Results	
Catch Summaries	
Spawning Stock Biomass Indexes	
Egg Production Potential Indexes	
Estimates of Annual Survival (S) based on catch-per-effort	
Catch rate histories of the 1987-1997 year classes	
Growth rate of striped bass derived from annuli measurements	
Age determinations using scales and otoliths	
Discussion	
Literature Cited	
Tables	
Figures	
1 1541 00	02 102.
II. Mortality estimates of Striped Bass (Morone saxatilis) that spawn in th	ρ
Rappahannock River, Virginia, spring 2004-2005	
Introduction	
Materials and Methods	
Capture and Tagging Protocol	
Analysis Protocol	
Results	
Spring 2005 tag release summary	
Mortality estimates 2004-2005	
Model evaluations	
Discussion	
Literature Cited	
Tables	

III. Fishing mortality estimates of the fall, 2004, resident striped bass	fishery in
Chesapeake Bay, Virginia	
Introduction	126.
Materials and Methods	
Experimental design.	
Analytical methods	
Results	128-131.
Tag release summary.	
Tag recapture summary.	129-130.
Estimate of fishing mortality.	130-131.
Discussion	
Literature Cited	
Tables	
Figures.	146.
evaluation of the pound net-based Spawning Stock Biomass Index Introduction.	148.
Methods.	
Results.	
Comparison of the temporal window with full seasonal data.	
Influence of river flow on the juvenile and spawning	130.
stock indexes.	150 151
Comparison of VIMS pound net data with VIMS juvenile	130-131.
index data	151 152
Comparison of the Rappahannock River and Virginia	131-132.
juvenile indexes.	150
Comparison of the Rappahannock SSBI and the	······································
Maryland juvenile indexes.	152
Discussion	
Literature Cited.	
Tables.	
Figures.	

ndexes.	
Introduction.	
Results.	
Comparison of the contributions of the four Rappahan	
nets to the Spawning Stock Biomass Index	
Catch rates.	
Age	
Correlation of catches.	
Correlation of the Rappahannock River Spawning Sto	
with the Maryland gill net spawning stock index	
Assessment of the Rappahannock River Spawning Sto	ock Biomass Index
as input to the VPA model	177-178
Tables	179-182
Figures	183-187
valuation of the 2000-2004 striped bass by-catch from the gill net stock assessment survey in the James and Rappah	annock rivers as a
ative index of abundance	
Introduction.	
Materials and Methods.	
Results.	
Discussion	
Literature cited.	
Figures	194-199

List of Tables

I. Assessment of the spawning stocks of striped bass in the Rappahannock and James rivers, Virginia, spring 2005.

1.	Numbers of striped bass in three age categories (year classes 2001-2003, 1997-2000 and 1987-1996) from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, by sampling date, spring, 2005.	24.
2.	Net-specific summary of catch rates and ages of striped bass (n=617) in pound nets on the Rappahannock River, spring, 2005. Values in bold are the grand means for each column.	25.
3.	Length frequencies (TL in mm) of striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, spring, 2005.	26.
4.	Mean fork length (mm), weight (g), standard deviation (SD) and CPUE (fish per day; weight per day), of striped bass from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 2005.	27.
5.	Summary of the season mean (30 March - 3 May) catch rates and ages, by sex, from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 1993-2005	28.
6.	Numbers of striped bass in three age categories (year classes 2001-2003, 1997-2000 and 1987-1996) from gill nets in the Rappahannock River by sampling date, spring, 2005.	29
7.	Summary of catch rates and mean ages of striped bass (n=322) from two gill nets in the Rappahannock River, spring, 2005. Values in bold are grand means for each column.	30
8.	Length frequencies (TL in mm) of striped bass sampled from experimental gill nets in the Rappahannock River, spring, 2005.	31
9.	Mean fork length (mm), weight (g), standard deviations (SD) and CPUE (number per day; weight per day) of striped bass from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 2005.	32
10.	Summary of the season mean (30 March - 3 May) catch rates and ages, by sex, from experimental gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 1993-2005	33

11.	Numbers of striped bass in three age categories (year classes 2001-2003, 1997-2000 and 1987-1996) from gill nets in the James River by sampling date, spring, 2005.	34.
12.	Summary of catch rates and mean ages of striped bass (n=820) from two gill nets in the James River, spring, 2005. Values in bold are grand means for each column.	35.
13.	Length frequencies (TL in mm) of striped bass sampled from experimental gill nets in the James River, spring, 2005.	36.
14.	Mean fork length (mm), weight (g), standard deviations (SD) and CPUE (number per day; weight per day) of striped bass from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 2005.	37.
15.	Summary of the season mean (30 March - 3 May) catch rates and ages, by sex, from experimental gill nets in the James River, 1995-2005	38.
16.	Values of the spawning stock biomass index (SSBI) for male and female striped bass, by gear, in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.	39.
17.	Values of the spawning stock biomass index (SSBI) calculated from gill net catches of male and female striped bass in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005.	40.
18.	Predicted values of fecundity (in millions of eggs) of female striped bass with increasing fork length (mm), James and Rappahannock rivers combined, spring, 2005.	41.
19.	Total, age-specific, estimated total egg potential (E, in millions of eggs/day) from mature (ages 4 and older) female striped bass, by river and gear type, 30 March - 3 May, 2005. The Egg Production Potential Indexes (millions of eggs/day) are in bold.	42.
20a,b.	Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.	. 43-44.
21a,b.	Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of male striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005	45-46.
22a,b.	Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of female striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River. 30 March - 3 May. 1991-2005	47-48

23a,b.	Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.	49 - 50.
24a,b.	Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of male striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.	51-52.
25a,b.	Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of female striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.	53-54.
26a,b.	Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.	55-56.
27a,b.	Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of male striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005	57-58.
28a,b.	Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of female striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.	59-60.
29a,b.	Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.	61-62.
30a,b.	Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of male striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.	63-64.
31a,b.	Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of female striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.	65-66.
32a,b.	Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005.	67-68.
33a,b.	Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of male striped bass sampled from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005	69-70.
34a,b.	Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of female striped bass sampled from oil nets (mile 62) in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005,	71-72.

35a,b.	Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005
36a,b.	Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of male striped bass sampled from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005
37a,b.	Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of female striped bass sampled from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005.
38a,b.	Comparison of the area under the catch curve (fish/ day) of the 1987-2003 year classes of striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 1991-2005
39a,b.	Comparison of the area under the catch curve (fish/ day) of the 1987-2003 year classes of striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 1991-2005.
40a,b.	Comparison of the area under the catch curve (fish/ day) of the 1987-2003 year classes of striped bass sampled from gill nets in the James River, 1994-2005.
41a,b.	Back-calculated length-at-age (FL, in mm) for striped bass sampled from the James and Rappahannock rivers during spring, 2005
42.	Data matrix comparing scale (SA) and otolith ages for chi-square test of symmetry. Values are the number of the respective readings of each combination of ages.
43.	Relative contribution of striped bass age classes as determined by ageing specimens (n=247) by reading both their scales and otoliths

II. Mortality estimates of Striped Bass (*Morone saxatilis*) that spawn in the Rappahannock River, Virginia, spring 2004-2005.

1.	Summary data of striped bass tagged and released from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, spring, 2005
2.	Recapture matrix of striped bass (>457 mm TL) that were tagged and released in the Rappahannock River, springs 1990-2004. The second (bottom) number is the number of those recaptures that were harvested
3.	Location of striped bass (> 457 mm TL) recaptured in 2004, that were originally tagged and released in the Rappahannock River during springs 1988-2004 and used for mortality analysis.
4.	Recapture matrix of striped bass (>710 mm TL) that were tagged and released in the Rappahannock River, springs 1990-2004. The second (bottom) number is the number of those recaptures that were harvested
5.	Location of striped bass (> 710 mm TL) recaptured in 2004, that were originally tagged and released in the Rappahannock River during springs 1988-2004 and used for mortality analysis.
6.	Performance statistics (>457 mm TL), based on quasi-likelihood Akaike Information Criterions (QAIC), used to assess the Seber (1970) models utilized in the ASMFC analysis protocol
7.	Seber (1970) model estimates (VIMS) of unadjusted survival (\hat{S}) rates and adjusted rates of survival (\hat{S}_{adj}) and fishing mortality (\hat{F}) of striped bass (> 457 mm FL) derived from the proportion of recaptures released alive (P_i) in the Rappahannock River, 1990-2004.
8.	Performance statistics (>710 mm TL), based on quasi-likelihood Akaike Information Criterions (QAIC), used to assess the Seber (1970) models utilized in the ASMFC analysis protocol
9.	Seber (1970) model estimates (VIMS) of unadjusted survival (\hat{S}) rates and adjusted rates of survival (\hat{S}_{adj}) and fishing mortality (\hat{F}) of striped bass (> 457 mm FL) derived from the proportion of recaptures released alive (P_l) in the Rappahannock River, 1990-2004.

III. Fishing Mortality estimates of the fall, 2004, resident striped bass fishery in Chesapeake Bay, Virginia.

1.	Striped bass tag release interval dates, proposed tag release quotas and number of striped bass tagged and released in Chesapeake Bay, Virginia, fall, 2004.
2.	Daily striped bass tag release totals, by area, during round 4 (20-29 September) of the fall, 2004 fishing mortality (F) study
3.	Age structure, by year class (YC), and mean fork length (FL in mm) of striped bass tagged and released at each location during round 4 (20-29 September) of the fall, 2004 fishing mortality study
4.	Daily striped bass tag release totals, by area, during round 5 (18-27 October) of the fall, 2004 fishing mortality (F) study
5.	Age structure, by year class (YC), and mean fork length (FL in mm) of striped bass tagged and released at each location during round 5 (18-27 October) of the fall, 2004 fishing mortality study.
6.	Daily striped bass tag release totals, by area, during round 6 (17-25 November) of the fall, 2004 fishing mortality study
7.	Age structure, by year class (YC), and mean fork length (FL in mm) of striped bass tagged and released at each location during round 7 (17-25 November) of the fall, 2004 fishing mortality study
8	Number, location, mean fork length (FL in mm) and mean age of recaptured striped bass, by release location. 20 September - 31 December, 2004142.
9.	Summary of the disposition of striped bass tagged during round 4 (20-29 September) and subsequently recaptured prior to 31 December, 2004, with emphasis on the fourth recapture interval (25 September - 19 October)143.
10.	Summary of the disposition of striped bass tagged during round 5 (18-27 October) and subsequently recaptured prior to 31 December, 2004, with emphasis on the fifth recovery interval (20 October - 18 November).
11.	Summary of the disposition of striped bass tagged during round 6 (17-25 November) and subsequently recaptured prior to 31 December, 2004145.

IV. Striped bass spawning stock assessment in the Rappahannock River, Virginia: evaluation of the pound net-based Spawning Stock Biomass Index.

1	Values of the VIMS Rarrahannock River pound net Spawning Stock Biomass Index, 1991-2004
2	Age-specific CPUE, sexes combined, from VIMS Rappahannock River pound net spawning stock assessment survey, 30 March – 3 May, 1991-2004 (maximum values in bold).
3	Age-specific CPUE, males only, from VIMS Rappahannock River pound net spawning stock assessment survey, 30 March – 3 May, 1991-2004 (maximum values in bold).
4	Age-specific CPUE, females only, from VIMS Rappahannock River pound net spawning stock assessment survey, 30 March – 3 May, 1991-2004 (maximum values in bold)
5	Comparison of catches and mean ages, by sex, of striped bass prior to and within the 30 March – 3 May temporal window, 1993-1998
6	Comparison of river flows with Spawning Stock Biomass Indexes from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March – 3 May, 1985-2003 (red denotes minimum values and blue denotes maximum values)
7	Comparison of Rappahannock River flows (30 March – 3 May) with VIMS striped bass juvenile indexes, 1985-2004 (red denotes minimum values and blue denotes maximum values).
8	Comparison of the Virginia and Maryland juvenile indexes, by river, 1980-2004

V. Comparison of the catches of the Rappahannock River pound nets, and the correlation of the Virginia Spawning Stock Biomass Indexes to the Maryland gill net indexes.

1.	Mean catch rates and standard deviations of male and female striped bass (fish/day) from the four pound nets sampled in the Rappahannock River, 30 March – 3 May, 1993-2004.	179.
2.	Mean catch rates and standard devitions of male and female striped bass (kg/day) from the four pound nets sampled in the Rappahannock River, 30 March – 3 May, 1993-2004.	180.
3.	Mean ages of male and female striped bass fom the four pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March – 3 May, 1993-2004.	181.
4.	Values of the Rappahannock River pound net and the Maryland gill net fema Spawning Stock Biomass Indexes, 1991-2002.	

List of Figures

I.	Assessment (of spawning	stocks of	striped	bass in	the Rap	pahannock	and Jam	es rivers.
V	irginia, spring	g 2005.							

1.	Locations of the commercial pound nets and experimental gill nets sampled in spring spawning stock assessments of striped bass in the Rappahannock River, 1991-2005.
2.	Locations of the experimental anchor gill nets sampled in spring spawning stock assessments of striped bass in the James River, spring, 200590.
3.	Age-specific catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, fish/day) of the 1987 year class of striped bass from the Rappahannock (pound nets and experimental gill nets) and James (experimental gill nets) rivers, spring, 1991-200591.
4.	Age-specific catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, fish/day) of the 1988 year class of striped bass from the Rappahannock (pound nets and experimental gill nets) and James (experimental gill nets) rivers, spring, 1991-2005
5.	Age-specific catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, fish/day) of the 1989 year class of striped bass from the Rappahannock (pound nets and experimental gill nets) and James (experimental gill nets) rivers, spring, 1991-200593.
6.	Age-specific catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, fish/day) of the 1990 year class of striped bass from the Rappahannock (pound nets and experimental gill nets) and James (experimental gill nets) rivers, spring, 1991-200594.
7.	Age-specific catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, fish/day) of the 1991 year class of striped bass from the Rappahannock (pound nets and experimental gill nets) and James (experimental gill nets) rivers, spring, 1992-2005
8.	Age-specific catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, fish/day) of the 1992 year class of striped bass from the Rappahannock (pound nets and experimental gill nets) and James (experimental gill nets) rivers, spring, 1993-200596.
9.	Age-specific catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, fish/day) of the 1993 year class of striped bass from the Rappahannock (pound nets and experimental gill nets) and James (experimental gill nets) rivers, spring, 1995-2005
10.	Age-specific catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, fish/day) of the 1994 year class of striped bass from the Rappahannock (pound nets and experimental gill nets) and James (experimental gill nets) rivers, spring, 1995-200598.

11.	Age-specific catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, fish/day) of the 1995 year class of striped bass from the Rappahannock (pound nets and experimental gill nets) and James (experimental gill nets) rivers, spring, 1997-2005			
12.	Age-specific catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, fish/day) of the 1996 year class of striped bass from the Rappahannock (pound nets and experimental gill nets) and James (experimental gill nets) rivers, spring, 1998-2005			
13.	Age-specific catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, fish/day) of the 1997 year class of striped bass from the Rappahannock (pound nets and experimental gill nets) and James (experimental gill nets) rivers, spring, 1998-2005			
14.	Magnitude of the age differences (otolith – scale age) resulting from ageing specimens of striped bass (n=270) by reading both their scales and otoliths, spring, 2005			
III. Fishing Mortality estimates of the fall, 2004, resident striped bass fishery in Chesapeake Bay, Virginia.				
1.	Delineation of western Chesapeake Bay, Virginia into tagging jurisdictions and the location of tagging sites during fall, 2004			
IV. Striped bass spawning stock assessment in the Rappahannock River, Virginia: evaluation of the pound net-based Spawning Stock Biomass Index.				
1.	Temporal distribution of male (top graph) and female (bottom graph) striped bass catches (kg/day) from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 1993-1998163.			
2.	Temporal distribution of male (top graph) and female (bottom graph) striped bass catches (kg/day) from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 1991-2004164.			
3.	Comparison of the VIMS Rappahannock River juvenile indexes (red lines) with their respective age-three through age-five CPUEs (blue lines) of striped bass from the pound net index			
4.	Correlation of the VIMS Rappahannock River juvenile indexes with their respective age-three through age-five CPUEs of striped bass from the pound net index			
5.	Comparison of the VIMS Rappahannock River juvenile indexes (red lines) with their respective age-six through age-eight CPUEs (blue lines) of striped bass from the pound net index			

6.	Correlation of the VIMS Rappahannock River juvenile indexes with their respective age-six through age-eight CPUEs of striped bass from the pound net index	
7.	Comparison of the VIMS Rappahannock River juvenile indexes (red lines) with their respective age-nine and age-ten (blue lines) of striped bass from the pound net index	
8.	Correlation of the VIMS Rappahannock River juvenile indexes with their respective age-nine and age-ten CPUEs of striped bass from the pound net index	
9.	Comparison of the VIMS Rappahannock River juvenile indexes (red lines) with their respective age-eleven and age-twelve CPUEs (blue lines) of striped bass from the pound net index	
10.	Correlation of the VIMS Rappahannock River juvenile indexes with their respective age-eleven and age-twelve CPUEs of striped bass from the pound net index	
11.	Comparison of the Rappahannock River juvenile index (red line) with the Virginia juvenile index (blue line) and their correlation, 1980-2004173.	
12.	Comparison of the Rappahannock River juvenile index (red line) with the Maryland juvenile index (blue line) and their correlation, 1980-2004174.	
V. Comparison of the catches of the Rappahannock River pound nets, and the correlation of the Virginia Spawning Stock Biomass Indexes to the Maryland gill net indexes.		
1.	Comparison of the mean annual catch rates between the pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March – 3 May, 1993-2004	
2.	Comparison of the annual mean ages of male and female striped bass from the pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March – 3 May, 1993-2004184.	
3.	Correlations of the catches of male striped bass from net S473 with those from nets S441 (1993-2001), S454 (1998-204) and S462 (1997-2004)	
4.	Correlation of the catches of female striped bass from net S474 with those from nets S441 (1993-2001), S454 (1998-2004) and S462 (1997-2004)186.	
5.	Correlation of the Rappahannock River pound net Spawning Stock Biomass Indexes with the Maryland gill net spawning stock indexes, 1991-2002187.	

- VI. Evaluation of the 2000-2004 striped bass by-catch from the American shad staked gill net stock assessment survey in the James and Rappahannock rivers as an alternative index of abundance.

I. Assessment of the spawning stocks of striped bass in the Rappahannock and James rivers, Virginia, spring, 2005.

Striped Bass Assessment and Monitoring Program
Department of Fisheries Science
School of Marine Science
Virginia Institute of Marine Science
The College of William and Mary
Gloucester Point, VA. 23062-1346

Introduction

Every year, striped bass migrate along the US east coast from offshore and coastal waters and then enter brackish or fresh water to spawn. Historically, the principal spawning areas in the northeastern US have been the Hudson, Delaware and Chesapeake estuarine systems (Hardy 1998). The importance of the Chesapeake Bay spawning grounds to these stocks has long been recognized (Merriman 1941, Raney 1952). In the Virginia tributaries of Chesapeake Bay, peak spawning activity is usually observed in April and is associated with rapidly rising water temperatures in the range of 13-19^o C (Grant and Olney 1991). Spawning is often completed by mid-May, but may continue until June (Chapoton and Sykes 1961). Spawning grounds have been associated with rock-strewn coastal rivers characterized by rapids and strong currents on the Roanoke and the Susquehanna rivers (Pearson 1938). In Virginia, spawning occurs over the first 40 km of the tidal freshwater portions of the James, Rappahannock, Pamunkey and Mattaponi rivers (Grant and Olney 1991; Olney et al. 1991; McGovern and Olney 1996).

The Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC) declared that the Chesapeake Bay spawning stocks were fully recovered in 1995 after a period of very low stock abundance in the 1980's. This statement of recovered status was based on estimated levels of spawning stock biomass that were found in 1995 to be equal or greater than the average levels of the 1960-72 period (Rugulo et al. 1994). Thus, continued assessment of spawning stock abundance is an important component of ASMFC mandated monitoring programs. To this end, the Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS) began development of spawning indexes that depict annual changes in catch rates of striped bass on the spawning grounds of the James and the Rappahannock rivers. These rivers represent the major contributors to the Chesapeake Bay stocks that originate from Virginia waters.

Materials and Methods

Samples of striped bass for biological characterization of the spring spawning stocks were obtained from the Rappahannock River between 31 March - and 2 May, 2005. Samples (the entire catch of striped bass from each gear) were taken twice-weekly (Monday and Thursday) from a pair of commercial pound nets (river miles 45 and 46) in the Rappahannock River. A third pound net located at river mile 47 was damaged by a commercial vessel and was not available for sampling. Pound nets are fixed commercial gears that have been the historically predominant gear type used in the river and are presumed to be non size-selective in their catches of striped bass. The established protocol (Sadler *et al.* 1999) was to alternate the choice of the net sampled but weather constraints often dictated whether that net could be sampled. In addition, data from pound nets sampled in 1991 and 1992 were included to expand the time series. These samples were consistent in every respect to the 1993-2001 samples with the following exceptions in 1991: two samples (3 and 17 April) came from a pound net at river mile 25 and samples were obtained weekly vs. twice weekly.

In addition to the pound nets, samples were also obtained twice-weekly from variable-mesh experimental anchored gill nets (two at river mile 48 on the Rappahannock River and two at river mile 62 on the James River, Figures 1-2). The variable-mesh gill nets deployed on both rivers were constructed of ten panels, each measuring 30 feet (9.14 m) in length, and 10 feet (3.05 m) in depth. The ten stretched-mesh sizes (in inches) were 3.0, 3.75, 4.5, 5.25, 6.0, 6.5, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, and 10.0. These mesh sizes correspond to those used for spawning stock assessment by the Maryland Department of Natural Resources. The order of the panels was determined by a randomized stratification scheme. The mesh sizes were divided into two groups, the five smallest and the five largest mesh sizes. One of the two groups was randomly chosen as the first group, and one mesh size from that group was randomly chosen as the first panel in the net. The second panel was randomly chosen from the second group, the third from the first group, and so forth, until the order was complete. The order of the panels in the first net was (in inches) 8.0, 5.25, 9.0, 3.75, 7.0, 4.5, 6.5, 6.0, 10.0, and 3.0, and in the second net the order was (in inches) 8.0, 3.0, 10.0, 5.25, 9.0, 6.0, 6.5, 3.75, 7.0, and 4.5. In 2004, a manufacturing error resulted in two nets of the first configuration being utilized.

Striped bass collected from the monitoring sites were measured and weighed on a Limnoterra FMB IV electronic fish measuring board interfaced with a Mettler PM 30000-K electronic balance. The board records lengths (FL and TL) to the nearest mm, receives weight (g) input from the balance, and allows manual input of sex and gonad maturity into a data file for subsequent analysis. Scales were collected from between the spinous and soft dorsal fins above the lateral line for subsequent aging, using the method established by Merriman (1941), except that impressions made in acetate sheets replaced the glass slide and acetone. Otoliths were extracted from a stratified subsample of the striped bass, processed for aging, and compared to their scale-derived ages.

The otolith subsample was the first 10 striped bass of each sex sampled from each of the following size ranges (fork length, in mm): 166-309, 310-419, 420-495, 496-574, 575-659, 660-724, 725-779, 780-829, 830-879 and 880-900. All striped bass greater than 900 mm fork length were sampled. The size ranges roughly correspond to age classes based on previous (scale-aged) data.

The otoliths were cleansed of external tissue material by soaking in bleach for 12-24 hours and rinsing in de-ionized water. The otoliths were prepared for ageing by placing the left sagitta on melted crystal bond and sectioned to a one millimeter thickness on a Buehler isomet saw. The sections were then polished on a Metaserv 2000 grinder. The polished section was immersed in a drop of mineral oil and viewed through an Olympus BX60 compound microscope at 4-20x. Each otolith was aged at least twice at different times by each of two readers using the methods described by Wischniowski and Bobko (1998).

All readable scales from the otolith-scale comparison were aged using the microcomputer program DISBCAL of Frie (1982), in conjunction with a sonic digitizer-microcomputer complex (Loesch et al. 1985). Growth increments were measured from the focus to the posterior edge of each annulus. In order to be consistent with ageing techniques of other agencies, all striped bass

were considered to be one year older on 1 January of each year. Scale ages were used exclusively except when a comparison with its companion otolith age was made.

The spawning stock biomass index (SSBI) for striped bass was defined (Sadler et al. 1999) as the 30 March - 3 May mean CPUE (kg/net day) of mature males (age 3 years and older), females (age 4 years and older) and the combined sample (males and females of the specified ages). An alternative index, based on the fecundity potential of the female striped bass sampled, was investigated and the results compared with the index based on mean female biomass.

To determine fecundity, the geometric mean of the egg counts of the gonad subsamples for each ripe female striped bass collected in 2001-2003 was calculated. A non-linear regression was fitted to data of total oocytes versus fork length. The resultant equation was then applied to the fork lengths of all mature (4+ years old) females from the pound net and gill net samples and the Egg Production Potential Index (EPPI) was defined as the mean number of eggs potentially produced per day of fishing effort by the mature female (age 4+) striped bass sampled from 30 March - 3 May.

Estimates of survival (S, the fraction surviving after becoming fully recruited to the stock) were calculated by dividing the catch rate (number/day) of a year class in year a+1 by the catch rate (number/day) of the same year class in year a. If the survival estimate between successive years was >1, the estimate was derived by interpolating to the following year. The geometric mean of S was used to estimate survival over periods exceeding one year (Ricker 1975). Separate estimates of survival were made for male and female striped bass, as well as the sexes combined.

Analysis of the differences in the ages estimated by reading the scales and otoliths from the same specimen were made using tests of symmetry (Evans and Hoenig 1998, Hoenig et al. 1995). Differences in the resultant mean ages from the two methods were tested using both two-tailed paired and unpaired t-tests (Zar 1999). The age class distributions resulting from the two ageing methods were compared using the non-parametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov two-sample test (Sokal and Rohlf 1981).

Results

Catch Summaries

Rappahannock River:

Pound nets: Striped bass (n=617) were sampled between 31 March and 2 May, 2005, from the pound nets in the Rappahannock River. The number of striped bass sampled was less than was sampled in 2004 (n=951) but was 18.4% above the 15-year average. Total catches varied from 13-122 striped bass, with peak catches on 31 March and 4 April (Table 1). Surface water temperature increased steadily from 9.6 °C on 31 March to 17.7 °C on 21 April, then varied between 15-17 °C through 2 May. Dry weather again persisted throughout April, resulting in

lower river flows than had been present in 2001-2003. Catches of female striped bass peaked on 21 April, but were generally high from 21-28 April. Males made up 72.1% of the total catch, but this was slightly below the 15-year average (77.2%). The 2001-2003 year classes comprised 41.5% of the total catch. Males dominated the 2001-2003 year classes (99.6%) and the 1997-2000 year classes (78.9%), but females dominated the 1987-1996 year classes (85.8%).

Biomass catch rates (g/day) of male striped bass peaked on 31 March and female striped bass were highest on 21 April (Table 2). The numeric catch rate of females exceeded that of males only on 21 April. However, the biomass catch rates for female striped bass exceeded that for males overall (1.47:1), peaking on 21 April (4.33:1). The mean ages of male striped bass varied from 3.7-5.8 years by sampling date, with the oldest mean ages occurring from 25-28 April. The mean ages of females varied from 9.0-10.6 years by sampling date, but only varied from 9.4-9.8 years from 18 April – 2 May.

There was a peak in abundance of striped bass (mostly male) between 450-500 mm total length in the pound net samples (Table 3). This size range accounted for 21.1% of the total sampled. There was a secondary peak in abundance of striped bass between 810-860 mm total length, accounting for 11.7% of the total sampled. However, the striped bass from 630-740 mm total length accounted for only 3.4% of the total sample. The total contribution of striped bass greater than 710 mm total length (the minimum total length for the coastal fishery) was 36.8%.

During the 30 March - 3 May period, the 2001 (30.8%) and 2000 (15.7%) year classes were the most abundant (Table 4). These year classes were 96.2% male. The contribution of males age six and older (the pre-2000 year classes) was 15.9% of the total aged catch. These year classes were most vulnerable to commercial and recreational exploitation within Chesapeake Bay. The contribution of females age seven and older, presumably repeat spawners, was 26.6% of the total aged catch, but was also 92.7% of the total females captured. The catch rate (fish/day) of male striped bass was 12.7, which is 16.4% below the 13-year average (Table 5). The catch rate of female striped bass (4.9 fish/day) was 11.4% above the 13-year average, but was less than in 2003 or 2004. The biomass catch rates (kg/day) of both sexes were above the average of the 13-year time series. The mean ages (30 March – 3 May) of both sexes were above the 13-year averages.

Experimental gill nets: Striped bass (n= 322) were also sampled between 30 March and 3 May, 2005 from two multi-mesh experimental gill nets in the Rappahannock River. The total catch was 61.1% less than in 2004. Total catches peaked on 18 and 21 April, due to the large number of three to six year old males (Table 6). Female striped bass were generally caught in low numbers throughout the sampling period. Males made up 91.6% of the total catch. Males dominated the 2001-2003 year classes (100%) and the 1997-2000 year classes (93.8%), but the 1987-1996 year classes were 67.9% female

Biomass catch rates (g/day) of male striped bass were highest on 21 April (Table 7). The catch rates of female striped bass were highest on 18 and 25 April. The catch rate of males exceeded that of females on every sampling occasion. The mean ages of male striped bass varied from 4.3-5.9 years by sampling date (excluding the one male captured on 2 May), with the oldest

males (five - nine years) being most abundant from 25-28 April. The mean ages of females varied from 8.0-11.0 years by sampling date, with the oldest females (age nine and older) being most abundant from 14-25 April.

There was a peak in the distribution of length frequencies of striped bass in the gill net samples between 440-550 mm TL (Table 8). In previous years, there was a distinct secondary peak of larger striped bass, but this was less apparent in 2005. In contrast to the pound net samples, the total contribution of striped bass greater than 850 mm total length was 5.9% vs. 20.3% in the pound nets. The total contribution of striped bass greater than 710 mm total length was 14.9% in the gill nets.

During the 30 March - 3 May period, the 2001 (36.6%) and 2000 (20.5%) year classes were most abundant (Table 9). These year classes were 99.5% male. The contribution of males age six and older (the pre-2000 year classes) was 20.1% of the total aged catch. These year classes were most vulnerable to commercial and recreational exploitation within Chesapeake Bay. The contribution of females age seven and older, presumably repeat spawners, was 7.5% of the total aged catch but was 88.9% of the total females captured. The catch rate (fish/day) of male striped bass was the third lowest in the 13-year time series and was 41.0% below the average (Table 10). The catch rate of female striped bass was also the third lowest in the time series and was 54.2% below the 13-year average. The biomass catch rates (g/day) for both sexes were also among the lowest in the time series.

James River:

Experimental gill nets: Striped bass (n= 820) were sampled between 30 March and 3 May, 2005, from two multi-mesh experimental gill nets at mile 62 in the James River. Total catches peaked first on 31 March and again on 2 May. Young, male striped bass were primarily responsible for the peak catches (Table 11). Catches of female striped bass were consistent, although small. Males dominated the 2001-2003 year classes (99.5%) and the 1997-2000 year classes (96.1%), but the 1987-1996 year classes were nearly equal by sex (53.1% male).

Biomass catch rates (g/day) of male striped bass peaked strongly on 7 April and on 2 May, but were high throughout the sampling season (Table 12). The catch rates of female striped bass were highest on 21 April. The biomass catch rate of males exceeded that of females on every sampling date (6.9:1 for the season). The mean ages of male striped bass varied from 4.3-5.2 years by sampling date. The mean ages of females varied from 6.0-11.3 years by sampling date, but varied from only 8.0-11.3 years from 31 March -21 April.

There was a broad peak of striped bass 430-640 mm total length in the gill net length frequencies (Table 13). This size range accounted for 71.0% of the striped bass sampled. In contrast to the samples from the pound nets (19.9%) from the Rappahannock River, striped bass greater than 850 mm total length accounted for only 3.8% of the total sampled. The total contribution of striped bass greater than 710 mm total length was 11.8%.

During the 30 March - 3 May period, the 2001 (45.4%), 2000 (24.3%) and 2002 (17.9%) year classes were the most abundant in the gill nets (Table 14). These year classes were 99.2%

male. The contribution of males age six and older (the pre-2000 year classes) was only 18.7% of the total aged catch. These year classes were most vulnerable to commercial and recreational exploitation within Chesapeake Bay. The contribution of females age seven and older, presumably repeat spawners, was only 2.9% of the total aged catch.

The catch rate (fish/day) of male striped bass was lower than for 2004, but was 16.9% above the 11-year average (Table 15). However, the catch rate of female striped bass was the lowest of the time series and was 68.8% below the 11-year average. Likewise, the biomass catch rate (g/day) of male striped bass was lower than 2004, but was 19.2% above the average while the biomass catch rate of female striped bass was lower than in 2004, and was 59.1% below the 11-year average. The mean age of male striped bass varied from only 4.3-4.9 years by sampling year, while the mean age of female striped bass varied from 6.3-8.6 years.

Spawning Stock Biomass Indexes

Rappahannock River:

Pound nets: The Spawning Stock Biomass Index (SSBI) for spring 2005 was 26.4 kg/day for male striped bass and 39.0 kg/day for female striped bass. The index for male striped bass was the fifth highest in the 15-year time series, although 54.9% less than the index for 2004, and 12.8% above the 15-year average (Table 16). The magnitude of the index for male striped bass was largely determined by the 2001 (23.9%) and 1997 (20.7%) year classes. The index for female striped bass was the fourth highest of the 15-year time series, although 40.4% below the index for 2004, and 21.6% above the average (Table 16). The magnitude of the index for the females was largely determined by the 1993-1996 year classes (77.9%).

Experimental gill nets: The Spawning Stock Biomass Index for spring 2005 was 55.6 kg/day for male striped bass and 19.9 kg/day for female striped bass. The index for male striped bass was the fifth lowest of the time series, 67.7% below the 2004 index, and was 31.5% below the 15-year average (Table 16). The 2000-2001 year classes contributed 46.9% of the biomass in the male index. Likewise, the index for female striped bass was 61.7% below the 2004 index, and was 41.1% below the 15-year average. The 1994-1996 year classes contributed 57.1% of the biomass in the female index.

James River:

Experimental gill nets: The Spawning Stock Biomass Index for spring 2005 was 147.7 kg/day for male striped bass and 21.6 kg/day for female striped bass. The male index was the fifth highest in the 12-year time series, although 28.7% lower than the 2004 index, and was 34.1% above the average (Table 17). The 2000 and 2001 year classes contributed 50.4% of the biomass in the male index. The female index was the lowest since 2000, 30.9% lower than the 2004 index, and was 58.6% lower than the 12-year average. The 1995-1997 year classes accounted for 53.0% of the biomass in the female index.

Egg Production Potential Indexes

The number of gonads sampled, especially of the larger females, was insufficient to produce separate length-egg production estimates for each river. The pooled data (2001-2003) produce a fork length-oocyte count relationship as follows:

$$N_o = 0.000857 \times FL^{3.1373}$$

where N_0 is the total number of oocytes and FL is the fork length (>400) in millimeters. Using this relationship, the predicted egg production was 125,000 oocytes for a 400-mm female and 3,719,000 oocytes for a 1180-mm female striped bass (Table 18). The 2005 Egg Production Potential Indexes (EPPI, Table 19) for the Rappahannock River were 6.30 (pound nets) and 3.06 (gill nets). The 2005 EPPI for the James River was 3.24. The indexes for the Rappahannock River were heavily dependent on the egg production potential of the older (8+ years) females (93.2% in the pound nets, 90.3% in the gill nets). The James River index was also dependent on these older females (88.3%). Previous values for the EPPI for 2001-2004 from the Rappahannock River were 3.992, 1.764, 9.829 and 10.55 (pound nets) and 4.039, 6.070, 3.724 and 8.432 (gill nets). Previous values for the EPPI for 2001-2004 from the James River were 5.286, 6.709, 6.037 and 4.922 respectively (Sadler et al 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004). Modest changes in the methodology (utilizing fully mature ovaries solely rather than ovaries in various states of maturation) in the 2001-2005 indexes preclude direct comparison with the 1999 and 2000 indexes.

Estimates of Annual Survival (S) based on catch-per-unit-effort

Rappahannock River:

Pound nets: Numeric catch rates (fish/day) of individual year classes from the 1991-2005 samples are presented in Tables 20-22. The cumulative annual catch rate of all year classes for 2005 was the eighth highest in the time series and was 44.4% lower than the cumulative catch rate for 2004 (Tables 20a,b). The decrease was the result of lower catch rates in all except the 2000 year class. The catch rate of males was dominated by four and five year-olds (2000 and 2001 year classes, Tables 21a,b). These two age classes contributed 62.3% on the total catch. Previously, these two age classes had contributed more than 50% of the total male catches in every year except 1995, 1996 and 2004. Using the maximum catch rate of the resident males as an indicator, the 1995-1997 year classes were strongest and the 1990 and 1991 year classes were the weakest. No pre-1994 year class males were captured. The cumulative catch rate of female stripers was also the eigth highest of the time series, and was 39.7% lower than the catch rate in 2004 (Tables 22a,b). The decrease in the cumulative catch rate of female striped bass reversed an increase in the capture of female striped bass after a general decline from 1993-2002. No pre-1985 year class females were captured in 2005.

The range of overall ages was unchanged from 1991-2005, consisting of 2-10 year old males and 4-16 year old females (except for one 18 and one 20 year-old female), but sex-specific changes in the age-structure have occurred. The age at which abundance peaked for males has decreased from age five (1992-1994) to age four (1997-2002). The catch rate of four and five year olds were near equal in 2003 and 2004, but the peak was age four in 2005. There has been an even more significant change in the age composition of the female spawning stock. From 1991-1996, the cumulative proportion of females age eight and older ranged from 0.134-0.468 (mean = 0.294) as their cumulative catch rate ranged from 0.75-2.08 fish/day (mean = 1.32). From 1997-2001 the range in the cumulative proportion of females age eight and older increased to 0.770-0.872 (mean = 0.825) as cumulative catch rates ranged from 1.44-4.45 fish/day (mean = 2.84). In 2002, the cumulative proportion of female striped bass age eight and older decreased to 0.508. The cumulative proportion of the catch rate of females age eight and older rebounded to 0.875, 0.903 in 2004 (the highest of the time series) and 0.883 in 2005.

Estimates of annual survival (S) for the individual year classes and their overall geometric means are presented in tables 23-25. While annual survival estimates varied widely among years, due to strong or weak overall catches, the geometric mean survival rates (1991-2005) of the 1983-1997 year classes (sexes combined) varied from 0.501-0.757 (Tables 23a,b) with an overall mean survival rate of 0.643. These year classes have survival estimates across a minimum of four years. There were widely divergent estimates of annual survival of male and female striped bass. The geometric mean survival rate (1991-2005) of the 1985-1997 year classes of males varied from 0.317-0.577 (Tables 24a,b) with an overall mean survival rate of 0.446. These year classes have been the major target of the fall recreational and commercial fisheries that reopened in 1993. The geometric mean survival rate (1991-2005) of the 1983-1991 year classes of females varied from 0.587-0.723 (Tables 25a,b) with an overall mean survival rate of 0.659. The high catch rates of 1992-1998 year class females in 2003 precluded estimation of survival rates for these stripers in 2005.

Experimental gill nets: Numeric catch rates (fish/day) of individual years classes from 1991-2005 are presented in Tables 26-28. The cumulative annual catch rate (all age classes, sexes combined) for 2005 from the gill nets was the second lowest in the time series and 63.0% lower than in 2004 (Tables 26a,b). The decrease was the result lower catch rates of virtually every age class. The cumulative catch rate was driven by the catch rates of the 2000 and 2001 year classes of striped bass. The age of peak abundance was four years old. The age of peak abundance had changed from age five (1992-1996, 2002) to age four (1997, 1998, 2000, 2001 and 2003) and age three (1999 and 2004). The cumulative catch rate of male striped bass was the fourth lowest in the time series and was 62.8% less than in 2004 (Tables 27 a,b). The cumulative catch rate of female striped bass was the second lowest of the time series, and was 64.6% less than the cumulative catch rate in 2004 (Tables 28a,b).

The overall age structure from 1991-2005 consisted of 2-12 year old males (Tables 27a,b) and 2-14 year old females (Tables 28a,b), although only one male older than 10 years was captured in 2005. The proportion of males age six and older (0.21) was less than in 2004 (0.33). The proportion of males age six and older was also 0.2 in 2002 and 2003 after being 0.03-0.06 from 1997-2001. The proportion of female striped bass age eight and older was 0.44 in 2005.

The proportion of females age eight and older increased from 0.148 to 0.652 from 1991 to 1996, declined from 0.652 to 0.315 from 1996 to 2002 (except 0.707 in 2001), then rebounded to 0.594 in 2003 and 0.843 in 2004.

The cumulative catch rate (all age classes) of male striped bass declined in 2005, and was the lowest value since 1995 (Tables 27a,b). Using the maximum catch rate of the resident males as an indicator, the 1993, 1994 and 1997 year classes were the strongest and the 1990, 1991 and 2000 year classes the weakest. The catch rates of male striped bass declined rapidly after ages five or six. These year classes are the primary target of the recreational and commercial fisheries.

The 2005 cumulative catch (all age classes) rate of female striped bass was less than half the 2004 catch rate and was comparable to the values found from 1997-2000 (Tables 28a,b). In 2004, the increased catch rates for 8-14 year-old females gave evidence of secondary peak of abundance across several year classes. This was not evident from the catches in 2005. This bimodal distribution of abundance with age had been noted for the pound net catches, but has not been evident in the gill net catches.

Estimates of annual survival (S) for the individual year classes and their overall geometric means are presented in Tables 29-31. While annual survival estimates varied widely among years, due to strong or weak overall catches, the geometric mean survival rate (1991-2005) of the 1984-1997 year classes (sexes combined) varied from 0.408-0.659 (Tables 29a,b) with an overall mean survival of 0.520. There were widely divergent estimates of annual survival of male and female striped bass. The geometric mean survival rate (1991-2005) of the 1987-1997 year classes of males varied from 0.150-0.520 (Tables 30a,b) with an overall mean survival of 0.376. These year classes have been the major target of the fall recreational and commercial fisheries that reopened in 1993. The geometric mean survival rate (1991-2005) of the 1984-1990 and 1992 year classes of females varied from 0.501-0.669 (Tables 31a,b) with an overall mean survival rate of 0.582. The survival estimates of both sexes of striped bass were lower than those calculated from the pound nets. The estimate of female survival rates was based on fewer years than the estimate from the pound nets due the rareness of the oldest females in the samples.

James River:

Experimental gill nets: Numeric catch rates (fish/day) of individual years classes from 1984-2005 are presented in Tables 32-34. The cumulative annual catch rate (all age classes, sexes combined) for 2005 was the sixth highest of the time series, but was a 37.7% below the catch rate for 2004 and the lowest value since 1999. It reestablished a trend of decline from the peak in 2000 that was interrupted in 2004 (Tables 32a,b). The cumulative catch rate was driven by high catch rates for the three to five year old (2000-2002 year classes), mostly male striped bass.

The overall age structure of the samples has remained stable throughout the time series, starting at age two or three, and ranging up to 11-14 years (Tables 32a,b). The age structure of male striped bass has expanded from three to six years in 1994, to two to 11 years by 2005 (Tables 33a,b). The age structure of female striped bass was stable from 1994-2005, consisting of three to 14 year old females (Tables 34a,b). The cumulative proportion of males age six and older has varied from 0.091-0.191 in 2000-2005 after peaking at 0.201-0.299 from 1996-1998.

The cumulative proportion of females age eight and older, which had decreased from 0.531-0.266 from 1997-1999, rebounded to 0.426 in 2001 and was 0.700 in 2005.

The cumulative catch rate of male striped bass mirrored the trends of the combined data with the 2005 catch rate being the sixth highest overall, but 37.8% lower than the cumulative catch rate for 2004 and the lowest value since 1999 (Tables 33a,b). Using the maximum catch rate of the resident males as an indicator, the 1995-1997 and the 2000 year classes were strongest and the 1992 and 1993 year classes the weakest. Male catch rates declined after ages five or six, but not as rapidly as on the Rappahannock River. In contrast, the 2005 cumulative catch rate of female striped bass was 34.2% lower than in 2004, and was the lowest in the time series (Tables 34a,b). There was no secondary peak in catch rates of females 1988-1994 year classes similar to that noted in the Rappahannock River pound net data.

Estimates of annual survival (S) for the individual year classes and their overall geometric means are presented in tables 35-37. While annual survival estimates varied widely among years, due to strong or weak overall catches, the geometric mean survival rate (1994-2005) of the 1984 -1997 year classes (sexes combined) varied from 0.347-0.686 (Table 35), with an overall mean survival rate of 0.537. There were widely divergent estimates of annual survival of male and female striped bass. The geometric mean survival rate (1994-2005) of the 1988-1997 year classes of males varied from 0.286-0.562 (Table 36) with an overall mean survival rate of 0.421. These year classes have been the major target of the fall recreational and commercial fisheries that reopened in 1993. The geometric mean survival rate (1994-2005) of the 1984-1995 year classes of females varied from 0.347-0.775 (Table 37) with an overall mean survival rate of 0.562.

Catch rate histories of the 1987-1997 year classes

The catch rate histories of the 1987-1997 year classes from each sampling gear (sampling on the James River commenced in 1993) are depicted in Figures 3-13. Consistent among the year classes are a peak of male striped bass at age four or five followed by a rapid decline in the catch rate and a secondary peak of mostly female striped bass around age 10. This secondary peak is best defined from the pound net data. The gill nets appear to be less efficient at catching larger, therefore older, striped bass. In both gears the catch rates of male striped bass was an order of magnitude greater than the catch rates of female striped bass.

Numeric catch rates for male striped bass decreased rapidly subsequent to their peak of abundance at age four or five in both gears. These fish are the primary target for the commercial and recreational fisheries within Chesapeake Bay. Catch rates of female striped bass also show a steep decline after their initial peak in abundance, presumably due to their migratory behavior, but, at least in the Rappahannock River, also exhibited a secondary peak in the catch rates of 9-11 year old females that persisted across several year classes. This secondary peak was due to the relative lack of intermediate sized (590-710 mm TL) striped bass in the samples. This pattern was not evident in the catches from 1991-1996 but has been persistent thereafter.

1987 Year class: The catch history of the 1987 year class commences at age four from the Rappahannock River and age seven from the James River. Peak abundance of male striped bass occurred at age four and the peak abundance of female striped bass occurred at age six in the Rappahannock River (Figure 3). Abundances of both sexes declined rapidly with age, although there was a distinctive secondary peak in the abundance of female striped bass captured from the pound nets. Using the calculated area under the catch curve (CCA) at age eight (the oldest year comparable among the 11 year classes) as an indicator of year class strength, the 1987 year class was near the mean for the 1987-1997 year classes (Table 38) in the pound net samples. However, the 1987 year class was below the mean in the gill net samples in the Rappahannock River (Table 39). Since the time series does not include catches at ages two and three, the values of the catch curve area are underestimated.

1988 Year class: The catch history of the 1988 year class commences at age three from the Rappahannock River and age six from the James River. Age three was the apparent age of full recruitment to both sampling gears. Peak abundance of both male and female striped bass occurred at age five (Figure 4). Abundances decreased rapidly with age, although the pound net samples again had a secondary peak of female striped bass at age nine. The 1988 year class was above the mean CCA in the pound net samples (Table 38), but slightly below the mean from the gill net samples in the Rappahannock River (Table 39).

1989 Year class: The catch history of the 1989 year class, fully recruited to the gears in the Rappahannock River, commenced at age five in the James River samples. Peak abundance of male striped bass occurred at age four (pound nets) and five (gill nets in both rivers, Figure 5). Peak abundance of female striped bass occurred at five in the Rappahannock River (both gears) and age six in the James River. There was a secondary peak in abundance of female striped bass at age nine in the pound net samples. The CCA from both gears in the Rappahannock River was below the mean (Tables 38, 39).

1990 Year class: The catch history of the 1990 year class commenced at age four in the James River. Peak abundance of male striped bass occurred at age four (gill nets) and five (pound nets) in the Rappahannock River and age four in the James River (Figure 6). The peak abundance of female striped bass occurred at age five in the gill net samples from both rivers, but was age eight in the pound net samples. The CCA was the second lowest of the time series from both gears in the Rappahannock River (Tables 38, 39). The CCA for the James River, though lacking values for ages two and three, was also below the mean (Table 40).

1991 Year class: The catch history of the 1991 year class commenced at age three in the James River and was fully recruited to the sampling gear. Peak abundance of male striped bass occurred at age four in the James River and at age five in the Rappahannock River (both gears, Figure 7). Peak abundance of female striped bass occurred at age eight in the James River and at age 10 in the Rappahannock River. It is interesting to note that age five and six female striped bass were not caught in the same relative abundance as in the 1987-1990 year classes. The CCA was the lowest of the year classes compared in the Rappahannock River in both sampling gears (Tables 38, 39) and well below the mean in the James River (Table 40).

1992 Year class: Peak abundance of male striped bass occurred at age three in the pound nets in the Rappahannock River and in the gill nets in the James River, but occurred at age five in the gill nets in the Rappahannock River (Figure 8). Peak abundance of female striped bass occurred at age seven in the James River but occurred at age nine (gill nets) and age eleven (pound nets) in the Rappahannock River. Again, there were relatively few ages five and six female striped bass captured in the Rappahannock River. Thus, what had been a secondary peak of abundance for the 1987-1989 years classes has been the primary peak in the 1990-1992 year classes. The CCA was higher than for the 1990 and 1991 year classes, but was still below the mean in the Rappahannock River (Tables 38, 39), and was the lowest value for the James River (Table 40).

1993 Year class: Peak abundance of male striped bass occurred at age four in the Rappahannock (both gears) and the James rivers (Figure 9). Peak abundance of female striped bass occurred at age six on the James River, but not until ages nine (gill nets) and age ten (pound nets) in the Rappahannock River. Again, there were relatively few ages five and six female striped bass captured in the Rappahannock River. The CCA was the highest of all the year classes from the gill net samples, but was only near the mean from the pound net samples in the Rappahannock River (Tables 38, 39). The CCA for the James River was well below the mean (Table 40).

1994 Year class: Peak abundance of male striped bass occurred at age four in the Rappahannock River (both gears) and at age six in the James River (Figure 10). Peak abundance of female striped bass occurred at age five on the James River, but not until age ten in the Rappahannock River (both gears). Again, there were relatively few ages five and six female striped bass captured in the Rappahannock River. The CCA was below the mean from the pound net samples but well above the mean from the gill net samples in the Rappahannock River (Tables 38, 39). The CCA for the James River was higher than for the 1991-1993 year classes bur was still below the mean (Table 40).

1995 Year class: Peak abundance of male striped bass occurred at age three (gill nets) and four (pound nets) in the Rappahannock River and occurred at age five in the James River (Figure 11). Peak abundance of female striped bass occurred at age four in the James River but not until age nine in the Rappahannock River (both gears). Again, there were relatively few ages five and six female striped bass captured in the Rappahannock River. The CCA was above the mean in the Rappahannock River pound nets (Table 38), but below the mean in the gill nets (Table 39). The CCA was above the mean in the James River (Table 40). The 1993-1995 year classes were characterized as having a primary peak of young, males striped bass and a secondary peak of older, female striped bass.

1996 Year class: Peak abundance of male striped bass occurred at age three (gill nets) and four (pound nets) in the Rappahannock River and occurred at age four in the James River (Figure 12). Peak abundance of female striped bass occurred at age six in the James River and at age eight in the Rappahannock River (both gears). Again, there were relatively few ages five and six female striped bass captured in the Rappahannock River. The CCA was the highest amongst the year classes from the pound samples in the Rappahannock River (Table 38) and well above the mean

in the gill net samples (Table 39). The CCA for the James River was by far the highest of any of the year classes (Table 40).

1997 Year class: Peak abundance of male striped bass occurred at three (pound nets) and age four (gill nets) in the Rappahannock River and occurred at age four in the James River (Figure 13). Age eight females showed an increase in abundance in the Rappahannock River pound nets and James River gill nets but were rare in the Rappahannock River gill nets. The CCA was the second highest in the Rappahannock River pound nets (Table 38) and James River gill nets (Table 40), and the third highest in the Rappahannock River gill nets (Table 39).

Growth rate of striped bass derived from annuli measurements

The scales of 246 striped bass were digitally measured and the increments between annuli were used to determine their growth history. The back-calculated length-at-age of striped bass was 141mm at age one (Table 41a). The rate of growth was about 100 mm in their second year and decreased gradually with age to about 80 mm in their fifth year and to about 50 mm in their 10^{th} year (Tables 41a,b). Interestingly, the growth rates of the most recent year classes were the highest, although the growth rate of the oldest year classes were based on very few specimens. Based on these growth estimates, an 18 inch (457 mm) total length striped bass would be 3.5 years of age during the fall recreational fishery in Chesapeake Bay. These striped bass reach the 28 inch (711 mm) total length minimum for the coastal fishery at age seven.

Age determinations using scales and otoliths

A total of 247 striped bass from 11 size ranges were aged by reading both their scales and otoliths. Scale and otolith ages from the same specimen were in agreement 42.1% (104/247) of the time and within one year 81.8% (202/247) of the time. Differences between the two age determination methods were first analyzed utilizing tests of symmetry. A chi-square test was performed to test the hypothesis that an $m \times m$ contingency table (Table 42) consisting of two classifications of a sample into categories is symmetric about the main diagonal. The test statistic is

$$X^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{m} \frac{\left(n_{ij} - n_{ji}\right)^{2}}{n_{ij} + n_{ji}}$$

where n_{ij} = the observed frequency in the *i*th row and *j*th column and n_{ji} = the observed frequency in the *j*th row and *i*th column (Hoenig et al., 1995).

A test of symmetry that is significant indicates that there is a systematic difference between the aging methods. The number of degrees of freedom is equal to the number of nonzero age pair comparisons (here = 30). We tested the hypothesis that the observed age differences were symetrically distributed about the main table diagonal (Table 42). The hypothesis was rejected ($\chi^2 = 53.863$, p= 0.0048, indicating non-random differences between the two ageing methodologies.

Following the extension of the symmetry test outlined by Hoenig et al. (1995), the point at which the asymmetry begins can be determined by repeatedly collapsing the data to form a "plus" group. The resulting chi-square test is then performed sequentially until the result is no longer significant. Non-random differences between otolith and scale ages occurred in striped bass age seven and older. The otolith-aged eight year-old class was the largest contributor to the variability. In the striped bass aged 11 and older using otoliths (n = 57), the otolith age was equal to (n = 16) or older (n = 41) than the scale age 71.9% of the time.

Differences between the scale and otolith age from the same specimen ranged from zero to six years (Figure 13). The otolith-derived age exceeded the scale age 34.4% of the total examined (59.4% of the non-zero differences). When the differences in ages were greater than one year, the otolith age was even more likely to be the older age (71.1%). Another test of symmetry that compared the negative and positive differences of the same magnitude (i.e. -4 and 4, -3 and 3, etc., Evans and Hoenig, 1998) failed to reject the hypothesis that these differences were random ($X^2 = 9.768$, df = 5, p= 0.0856). This test has far fewer degrees of freedom than did the previous test of symmetry. Thus, the results indicate that the second test has less power to resolve questions of symmetry rather than contradicting the first test.

Next, t-tests of the resultant means of the two ageing methods were performed. A two-tailed t-test was made to test the null hypothesis that the mean ages determined by the two methods were not different. The mean age of the sample (n=247) determined by reading the otoliths was greater than the mean age determined by reading the scales (by 0.15 years, Table 43). The test results were:

$$\bar{A} ge_{otolith} = 8.75$$
 $\bar{A} ge_{scale} = 8.53$
 $S_{otolith} = 3.28$
 $\bar{A} ge_{scale} = 3.38$
 $t = 0.795$
 $df = 492$
 $p = .4273$

Therefore the null hypothesis was not rejected.

A paired t-test was also performed on the ages determined for each specimen by the two methodologies. The null hypothesis tested was that the mean of the difference resultant from the two methods was not different from zero. The paired t-test results were highly significant (t=3.032, df=246, p=.0027) and the null hypothesis was rejected.

To determine whether the distribution of age classes that resulted from the two ageing methodologies were representative of the same population, a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed on the relative proportion that each assigned age class contributed to the total sample (Table 43). This compares the maximum difference in the relative proportions that an age class contributes to the test statistic (K_{05}):

$$D_{\text{max}} = 0.1255$$
 $K_{.05} = 1.3581$
$$D_{.05} = 1.3581\sqrt{\frac{247 + 247}{247^2}} = 0.1222$$

The maximum difference marginally exceeded the test statistic, so the null hypothesis, that the age structures derived by the two ageing methods represent the same population, was rejected.

Discussion

Striped bass stocks had recovered sufficiently by 1993 to allow the re-establishment of limited commercial and recreational fisheries in Virginia. The monitoring efforts summarized in this report were intended to document changes in the abundance and age composition of spawning stocks in the James and Rappahannock rivers during the period of managed harvest by these fisheries.

The main advantage of pound nets is that the gear provides large catches (often in excess of 100 fish per day) that are presumably not sex or size-biased. However, each pound net has a different fishing characteristic (due to differences in depth, bottom, fetch, nearness to shoals or channels, etc.), and our sampling methods (in use since 1993) may have introduced additional variability. The down-river net (mile 44) was set in a shallow, flat-bottomed portion of the river with a leader that extended farther into the bay. The upriver net (mile 47) was set in a constricted portion of the river that abutted the channel, and had a leader that extended almost to the shoreline. Ideally, each net was scheduled to be sampled weekly, but uncontrollable factors (especially tide, weather and market conditions) affected this schedule. Since spring 2002 the down-river net has not been set and was replaced by a net across the river at mile 45. This net had been utilized since 1997 as a source for tagging striped bass, but had been excluded from the spawning stock assessment in order to keep the sampling methodology as consistent as possible with the 1991-1996 data. Weekly sampling occurred each Monday and Thursday, a schedule that translated to fishing efforts of 96 hrs (Thursday through Monday) or 72 hrs (Monday through Thursday).

In past years, duration of the pound net set was as low as 24 hrs., and as large as 196 hrs., if the fisherman was unable to fish the scheduled net on the scheduled sampling date. Although these events were uncommon, we were unable to assess whether varying effort influenced estimates of catch rate. The 1997 and 1998 data include a pound net at mile 46 that had an orientation and catch characteristics similar to the net at mile 47. This net was also sampled on one date (7 April) in 2003. In 2005 this net was substituted entirely for the net at

mile 47 due to extensive damage to the net at mile 47 in a maritime accident. The 1991 data included samples taken from a pound net at river mile 25 and were weekly vs. twice-weekly samples, but with similar total effort. While this net is far enough within the Rappahannock to preclude significant contamination from stocks from other rivers, it does not meet the criteria established in 1993, restricting sampling to gears located within the designated spawning grounds (above river mile 37). The catches from these other nets were similar in sex and age composition to the nets presently used and their exclusion would adversely affect our ability to assess the status of the spawning stocks in those years.

Variable-mesh gill nets were set by commercial fishermen and fished by scientists after 24 hours on designated sampling days. As a result, there were fewer instances of sampling inconsistencies, although in 2004, a manufacturing error resulted in two nets of the number one configuration being fished on both rivers. The two nets were set approximately 300 meters apart and along the same depth contours on both rivers. Although the down-river net did not always contain the greater catches, removal by one net may have affected the catch rates of its companion.

The gill nets captured proportionally more males than did the pound nets. Anecdotal information from commercial fishermen suggests that spawning males are attracted to conspecifics that have become gilled in the net meshes. Thrashing of gilled fish may emulate spawning behavior (termed "rock fights" by local fishermen) and enhance catches of males. The pound net catches contained a greater relative proportion of older female striped bass than did the catches from the gill nets. This trend has been persistent over several years. Thus, given the presence of large females in the spawning run, it is clear that the gill nets do not adequately sample large (900+ mm FL) striped bass.

The biological characterization of the spawning stock of striped bass in the Rappahannock River changed dramatically from 1991-2005. There was a steady decrease in the relative abundance of five to seven year-old striped bass from 1991-2001, but these ages were proportionally more abundant in 2002-2005. The males in these age classes had been the target of the recreational and commercial fisheries, but with the increase in the availability of larger striped bass in recent years, the younger striped bass may be under less fishing pressure. Current regulations protect females from harvest during their annual migration by higher minimum lengths in the coastal fishery (711 mm TL vs. 458 mm TL within Chesapeake Bay) and the closure of the fishery in the bay during the April spawning run. The result has been a general increase in the abundance of older females throughout the period. The catches of older females from the pound nets were somewhat lower in 2005. They had increased dramatically in 2003 and 2004, after having decreased in 2002. This pattern was also noted after low catches in 1992 and in 1996. However, catches of the older females in the Rappahannock River gill nets was historically low.

Of note in the 2005 samples was the relative abundance of 1996 year class (nine year old) male and female stripers. This year class has been above-average in abundance since recruiting to the gears at age three, which indicates that it is a very strong year class. The 1992 year class (13 years old) also showed increased abundance relative to previous year classes at that age. The

catch/effort of this year class at age nine was second only to the 1989 year class and indicates that the strength of the 1992 year class may have been previously underestimated. In spring 1996, when the maximum catch/effort of four year old males would have been expected, the weather was abnormally cold and wet and catches across all year classes were down from the previous year (Sadler *et al.* 1998).

The 2005 values of the Spawning Stock Biomass Index (SSBI) for the Rappahannock River were lower than in 2004 for both male and female striped bass and from both gears. The SSBI for male and female striped bass captured in the pound nets were above the mean in the 1991-2005 time series. The decrease in the SSBI was due to decreased numbers across almost every age class when compared to 2004. In contrast, the decrease in the SSBI resulted in values below the mean for both male and female striped bass in the gill nets. In fact, the catch rate for female striped bass was the lowest since 2000, especially for the larger, older specimens.

The 1991-2005 values of the SSBI in the Rappahannock River were not consistent between pound nets and gill nets. In the pound nets, male biomass peaked in 1993 due to strong 1988 and 1989 year classes, and again in 1999 and 2000 due to strong 1996 and 1997 year classes. The value in 2005 was driven by decreased catches of 1998-2000 year classes of males, after strong catches in 2004. The female biomass from pound nets showed no reliance upon any age groups. The male biomass from the gill nets is driven by the number of "super catches", when the net is literally filled by males, seeking to spawn, that occur differentially among the years (most notably in 1994, 1997 and 2004). Due to the highly selective nature of the gill nets (significantly fewer large females), the female SSBI from these nets is less reliable. The low biomass values from both gears of both sexes in 1992 and 1996 are probably an underestimate of spawning stock strength since water temperatures were below normal in those years. Local fishermen that low temperatures alter the catchability of striped bass. It is also possible that the spawning migration continued past the end of sampling in those years.

The 2005 values of the SSBI in the James River were also lower than in 2004 for both male and female striped bass. The male index was driven by large catches of the 2000-2002 year classes while the female index had low catch rates across all year classes. Because of the changes in location and in the methodology utilized by the new fisherman starting in 2000, the values are not directly comparable with those of previous years. The below normal river flow conditions noted for the Rappahannock River, apply to the James River as well. The relative scarcity of larger, predominantly female, striped bass from the gill nets in the James River (compared to pound net catches) implies a similar limitation in fishing power as shown in the Rappahannock River but comparative data are not available since there are no commercial pound nets on the James River.

The Egg Production Potential Index (EPPI) is an attempt to better define the reproductive potential of the spawning stocks, especially as they become more heavily dependent on fewer, but larger, female striped bass. For example, in the 2001 Rappahannock River pound net data the contribution of 8+ year old females was 75.2% of the total number of mature females (the basis of our index prior to 1998), 94.1% of the mature female biomass (the basis of the current index) and 94.3% of the calculated egg potential. As noted previously, the catches in 2002 were less

reliant on older fish than in the preceding years so that the contribution of 8+ year old females was 46% of the total number of mature females, but still 69.1% of the female biomass and 68.4% of the potential egg production. In 2005, the contribution of 8+ year old females was 87.6% of the total number, 94.8% of the biomass and 95.6% of the calculated egg potential. It should be noted that our fecundity estimates for individual striped bass are well below those reported by Setzler et al. (1980). Our methodology differs from the previous studies, but the relative contribution in potential egg production of the older females may be underestimated at present.

In our analysis of pound net catch rates, we observed a distinctive bimodal distribution of female striped bass in the 1987-1996 year classes. These striped bass appeared in greatest abundance at age five or six (especially males), at lower abundance at age six to eight (both sexes), and then higher abundance at ages nine to 12 (especially females). Also, prior to 1995, the peak catch rates of male and female striped bass (ages four and five) were similar. The catches of these age classes are now almost exclusively male. Thus, the 1991-1995 year classes actually showed greater abundance at ages nine to 12 years than at any other age. Age estimation of larger striped bass by scales is problematic because re-absorption or erosion of outer margins of scales may cause under-estimation of age. Under-ageing errors might tend to lump catches of old fish (>12 years) into younger categories (nine to 12 years). However, ignoring age, we also observed a bimodal size distribution, one group from 470-590 mm fork length, presumably young, and the second group of 850-1200 mm fork length, presumably older. This trend became increasingly apparent in the 1997-2003 data and its significance has not been determined. In 2004 and 2005, the second group was expanded to 750-1200 mm as the strong 1996 and 1997 year classes were caught in abundance.

The time series of the catch rates by age class and by year class indicate that the age of peak abundance in the rivers has changed from five or six years in 1992-1994 to three to four years in 2000-2002. Changes in the annual catch rates by year class in the Rappahannock River indicated that strong year classes occurred in 1988, 1989, 1996 and 1997, and weak year classes occurred in 1990 and 1991. The relative abundance of ten-year old, 1992 year class, striped bass of both sexes in both 2001 and 2002, indicate that the 1992 year class was also strong. Likewise, the data for the James River indicated that strong year classes occurred in 1989, 1993, 1994 and 1996, and weak year classes occurred in 1990 and 1991.

The time series allows estimates of the instantaneous rates of survival of the year classes using catch curves, especially for the 1983-1997 year classes that were captured for four or five years subsequent to their peak in abundance at age four or five. The survival estimates of female striped bass of these year classes in the Rappahannock River were approximately 0.66 in pound nets and 0.58 in gill nets. The lower capture rates of larger (older) females in the gill nets resulted in lower estimates. The survival estimates of male striped bass were approximately 0.45 in pound nets and 0.38 in gill nets. The high survival estimates for the females may be the result of their differential maturation rates. These differences cause lower peaks in abundance (usually at age five) as only fractions of each year class mature and are depicted in their lower peak abundance values. The large differences between the sexes also reflect a management strategy that targets males. Similarly, survival estimates for these year classes in the James River were approximately 0.42 for male striped bass and approximately 0.56 for female striped bass.

The catch histories of the 1987-1997 year classes in the Rappahannock River show two distinct patterns. The 1987-1990 year classes had initial peaks of abundance of both sexes at ages four or five and a secondary peak in the abundance of female striped bass after age eight. Subsequent year classes did not have the initial peak in abundance of female striped bass, but only what was the secondary peak of eight to 12 year-olds. Since catches of larger, thus older, striped bass was less consistent in the gill net catches, this pattern was less apparent in that data set. Using the area under the catch curve as an indicator of year class strength, the 1993 and 1996 year classes were the strongest and the 1990 and 1991 year classes were the weakest.

Back-calculation of the growth based on measurements between scale annuli indicated that striped bass grow about 140 mm (fork length) in their first year. Growth averaged 115 mm in their second year and decreased gradually to about 50 mm by age 10. Thus, striped bass reach the 18 in. (457 mm) minimum total length for the Chesapeake Bay resident fishery at 3.5 years of age (the 2001 year class in 2004) and the 28 in. (711 mm) minimum total length for the coastal fishery at age seven.

The ages of striped bass determined by reading both their scales and otoliths were found to differ by as much as six years (though only for a single specimen). The age difference determined for the largest, and oldest, specimens was 0-6 years (13-20 years by reading the scale vs 13-22 years by reading the otolith). The maximum age determined by reading scales has generally remained constant at 16 years since 1991 (although a single 20 year-old was aged in 2005), while there has been an annual progression in the maximum age determined by reading otoliths. Agreement between the two ageing methodologies was only 42.1% and was slightly higher than the results from 2004. When there was disagreement between methodologies, the otolith age was 1.47 times more likely to have been aged older than the respective scale-derived age and 2.5 times as likely to produce a difference of two or more years older. The differences were found to be statistically non-random and different from zero. The age at which the divergence became significant was age seven. However, the relative contributions of the age classes and their overall mean age were marginally statistically different between the two methodologies. Thus, by using otoliths to age the striped bass, the age structure extends back to the 1983 year class, while scale ageing limits the age structure to the 1985 year class. Previous ageing method comparison studies (Secor, et al. 1995, Welch, et al. 1993) concluded that otolithbased and scale-based ages of striped bass became increasingly divergent, with otolith ages being older, especially after 900 mm in size or 10-12 years in age. We plan to continue these comparisons in future years.

Literature Cited

- Berggren, T.J. and J.T. Lieberman. 1978. Relative contribution of Hudson, Chesapeake and Roanoke striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*, stocks to the Atlantic coast fishery. U. S. Fish. Bull. 76(2): 335-345.
- Barbieri, S.K. and L.R. Barbieri. 1993. A new method of oocyte separation and preservation for fish reproduction studies. U. S. Fish. Bull. 91: 165-170.
- Chapoton, R.B. and J.E. Sykes. 1961. Atlantic coast migration of large striped bass as evidenced by fisheries and tagging. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 90(1):13-20.
- Dorazio, R.M., K.A. Hattala, C.B. McCollough and J.E. Skjeveland. 1994. Tag recovery estimates of migration of striped bass from spawning areas of the Chesapeake Bay. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 123: 950-963.
- Evans, G.T. and J.M. Hoenig. 1998. Testing and viewing symmetry in contingency tables, with application to readers of fish ages. Biometrics 54: 620-629.
- Field, J.D. 1997. Atlantic striped bass management: where did we go right? Fisheries 22(7): 6-8.
- Frie, R.V. 1982. Measurement of fish scales and back-calculation of body lengths using a digitizing pad and microcomputer. Fisheries 7(5): 5-8.
- Grant, G.C. and J.E. Olney. 1991. Distribution of striped bass *Morone saxatilis* (Walbaum) eggs and larvae in major Virginia rivers. U. S. Fish. Bull. 89:187-193.
- Hardy, J.D. Jr. 1978. Development of fishes of the mid-Atlantic bight. Vol. III, Aphrederidae through Rachycentridae. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv. FWS/OBS-78/12.
- Hoenig, J.M., M.J. Morgan and C.A. Brown. 1995. Analysing differences between two age determination methods by tests of symmetry. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 52: 354-368.
- Lewis, R.M. 1957. Comparative study of populations of the striped bass. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Spec. Rep. Fisheries 204:1-54.
- Loesch, J.G., W.H. Kriete, Jr., and S.M. Atran. 1985. Sonic digitizers "go fishing": fish scales reveal age by sound. Sea Tech., February 1985: 3-31.
- Mansueti, R.J. 1961. Age, growth, and movements of the striped bass, *Roccus saxatilis*, taken in size selective fishing gear in Maryland. Ches. Sci. 2: 9-36.

- McGovern, J.C. and J.E. Olney. 1996. Factors affecting survival of early life stages and subsequent recruitment of striped bass on the Pamunkey River, Virginia. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 53: 1713-1726.
- Merriman, D. 1937. Notes on the life history of the striped bass (*Roccus lineatus*). Copeia 1:15-36.
- Merriman, D. 1941. Studies on the striped bass (*Roccus saxatilis*) of the Atlantic Coast. Fish. Bull. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv. 50(35):1-77.
- Olney, J.E., J.D. Field, and J.C. McGovern. 1991. Striped bass egg mortality, production and female biomass in Virginia rivers, 1980-1989. Trans. Amer. Fish. Soc. 120: 354-367.
- Pearson, J.C. 1938. The life history of the striped bass, or rockfish, *Roccus saxatilis* (Walbaum). U. S. Fish. Bull. 49: 825-851.
- Raney, E.C. 1957. Subpopulations of the striped bass *Roccus saxatilis* (Walbaum), in tributaries in Chesapeake Bay. U. S. Fish Wildl. Serv., Spec. Sci. Fish. 208: 85-107.
- Ricker, W.E. 1975. Computation and interpretation of biological statistics of fish populations. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. Bull. 191: 382 p.
- Rugolo, L.J., P.W. Jones, R.K. Schaefer, K.S. Knotts, H.T. Hornick and J.L. Markham. 1994. Estimation of Chesapeake Bay-wide exploitation rate and population abundance for the 1993 striped bass stock. Manuscript, Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Annapolis, Md.
- Sadler, P.W., R.E. Harris, J. Romine, and J.E. Olney. 1998. Evaluation of striped bass stocks in Virginia: monitoring studies, 1993-1998. Completion Report, Virginia Institute of Marine Science. 99 p.
- Sadler, P.W., R.J. Latour, R.E. Harris, and J.E. Olney. 2001. Evaluation of striped bass stocks in Virginia: monitoring and tagging studies, 1999-2003. Annual Report, Virginia Institute of Marine Science: 93 p.
- Sadler, P.W., R.J. Latour, R.E. Harris, K.L. Maki and J.E. Olney. 2002. Evaluation of striped bass stocks in Virginia: monitoring and tagging studies, 1999-2003.
 Annual Report, Virginia Institute of Marine Science: 102 p.
- Sadler, P.W., R.J. Latour, R.E. Harris, J.K. J.K. Ellis and J.E. Olney. 2003. Evaluation of striped bass stocks in Virginia: monitoring and tagging studies, 1999-2003.

 Annual Report, Virginia Institute of Marine Science: 131 p.

- Sadler, P.W., J.M. Hoenig, R.E. Harris and B.G. Holloman. 2004. Evaluation of striped bass stocks in Virginia: monitoring and tagging studies, 1999-2004. Annual Report, Virginia Institute of Marine Science: 167 p.
- Secor, D.H. 1999. Specifying divergent migrations in the concept of stock: the contingent hypothesis. Fisheries research 43: 13-34.
- Secor, D.H., T.M. Trice and H.T. Hornick. 1995. Validation of otolith-based ageing and a comparison of oolith and scale-based ageing in mark-recaptured Chesapeake Bay striped bass, *Morone saxatilis*. Fish. Bull. 93:186-190.
- Setzler, E.M., W.R. Boyton, K.V. Wood, H.H. Zion, L. Lubbers, N.K. Montford, P. Frere, L. Tucker and J.A. Mihursky. 1980. Synopsis of biological data on striped bass, *Morone saxatilis* (Walbaum). NOAA Tech. Rept. NMFS 433.
- Shepherd, G. and H. Lazar (eds). 1998. Source document to Amendment 5 to the Interstate Fishery Management Plan for striped bass. ASMFC Rep. No. 34.
- Sokal, R.R. and F.J. Rohlf. 1981. Biometry. W. H. Freeman Co. 859 p.
- Van Winkle, W., K.D. Kumar, and D.S. Vaughan. 1988. Relative contributions of Hudson River and Chesapeake Bay striped bass stocks to the Atlantic Coast population. Amer. Fish. Soc. Mono. 4: 255-266.
- Welch, T.J., M..J. Van Den Avyle, R.K. Betsill and E.M. Driebe. 1993. Precision and relative accuracy of striped bass age estimates from otoliths, scales, anal fin rays and spines. N. Amer. J. Fish. Mgmt. 13:616-620.
- Wischniowski, W. and S. Bobko. 1998. Age and growth laboratory manual. Final report Old Dominion Univ. Center for Quantitive Fisheries Ecology.
- Zar, J.H. 1999. Biostatistical Analysis, Fourth Edition. Prentis Hall Press. 663 pp.

Table 1. Numbers of striped bass in three age categories (year classes 2001-2003, 1997-2000 and 1987-1996) from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, by sampling date, spring, 2005. M = males, F = females.

		1112	Year Class								
Date	n	2001 - M	-2003 F	1997 M	-2000 F	1987 - M	1996 F	Not M	aged F		
31 March	122	97	. 0	16	2	1	6	0	0		
4 April	91	35	0	· 28	5	4	18	1	0		
7 April	51	35	0	4	1	2	8	1	0		
11 April	25	7	1	0	3	0	14	0	0		
14 April -	13	4	0	3	3	0	3	0	0		
18 April	36	9	0	12	3	1	11	0	0		
21 April	62	9	0	17	11	1	23	1	0		
25 April	79	14	0	35	6	5	19	0	0		
28 April	74	24	0	20	8	5	16	1	0		
2 May	64	21	0	30	2	2	9	0	0		
Total	617	255	1	165	44	21	127	4	0		

Table 2. Net-specific summary of catch rates and mean ages of striped bass (n=617) in pound nets on the Rappahannock River, spring, 2005. Values in bold are grand means for each column. M = male, F = female.

T. 1	Net		CPUE (f	ish/day)	e CPUE (g/day)	Mean age		
Date	ID-	n	M	\mathbf{r}	M	F	> MI	F	
31 March	S462	122	38.0	2.7	51,895.1	23,673.4	4.0	9.5	
4 April	S454	91	17.0	5.8	40,416.2	43,189.5	5.2	9.6	
7 April	S462	51	14.0	3.0	21,656.4	29.266.7	4.1	10.6	
11 April	S454	25	1.8	4.5	1,630.2	35,986.9	3.7	9.8	
14 April	S462	13	2.3	2.0	3,483.3	14,150.0	4.3	9.0	
18 April	S454	36	5.5	3.5	14,258.9	29,548.4	5.4	9.4	
21 April	S462	62	9.0	11.7	20,583.3	89,166.7	5.2	9.8	
25 April	S454.	79	13.5	6.3	39,525.0	43,525.0	5.8	9.2	
28 April	S462	74	17.0	7.7	42,483.3	66,550.0	5.5	9.7	
2 May	S454	64	13.3	2.8	30,646.7	21,562.5	5.2	9.6	
Totals	S454	295	10.2	4.6	25,295.4	34,762.5	5.4	9.5	
	S462	322	16.1	5.4	28,020.3	44,561.4	4.0	9.8	
Season		617	12.7	4.9	26,463.2	38,962.0	4.5	9.7	

Table 3. Length frequencies (TL in mm) of striped bass sampled from the pound nets in the Rappahannock River, spring, 2005.

TL	11	TIL.	n	TL	n	TL	A	TL	n	TIL.	n
300-	1	460-	22	620-	6	780-	5	940-	4	1100-	0
\$10,-	0	470-	32	630-	3	790-	7	950-	8	1110-	0
320-	1	480-	31	640-	4	800-	9	960-	2	1120-	1
330-	1	490-	20	650-	2	810-	16	97(0-	4	1130-	0
340-	2	500-	17	660-	2	820-	12	980-	2	1140-	1
:350-	2	\$10=	13	670-	3	830-	19	990-	1	1150-	0
360-	2	520-	17	680-	0	840-	11	1000-	2	1160-	0.1
370-	2	530-	14	690-	0	850-	14	1010-	2	1170-	1
380-	3	540-	13	700-	2	860-	7	1020-	3	1180-	0
390-	141	550-	8	710-	0	870-	9	1030-	0	1190-	0
400-	7	560-	11	720-	3	880-	16	1040-	2	i200-	0
410-	13	\$70-	13	730-	2	890-	8	1050-	2	1210-	0
420-	17	580-	16	740-	6	900-	10	1060-	0	1220-	0
430-	19	590-	14	750-	3	970-	10	1070	2	1230=	0
440-	12	600-	9	760-	4	920-	4	1080-	0	1240-	1
450-	25	610-	6	770-	6	930-	8	1090=	1	1250-	0

Table 4. Mean fork length (mm), weight (g), standard deviation (SD) and CPUE (fish per day; weight per day), of striped bass from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 2005 (n/a: unageable).

Year Class	Sex	п	Fork I Mean	.ength - SD	We Mean	ight SD	CP F/day	
								W/day
2003	male	2	283.0	28.3	293.2	95.7	0.1	16.8
2:002	male	64	384.3	26.1	721.7	149.3	1.8	1,319.7
2001	male	189	448.0	26.6	1,170.5	243.4	5.4	6,320.7
Fig.	female	1	450.0		1,347.5		0.0	38.5
2000	male	87	526.0	27.3	1,922.7	278.8	2.5	4,779.3
	female	10	543.7	31.2	2,174.1	378.6	0.3	621.2
-1999	male	23	578.8	26.3	2,625.3	553.3	0.7	1,725.2
	female	2	626.0	11.3	2,850.1	70.9	0.1	162.9
1998	male	18	692.1	42.8	4,574.6	863.9	0.5	2,352.7
	female	9	728.8	27.3	5,133.3	418.3	0.3	1,320.0
1997	male	35	757.6	29.4	5,454.3	764.9	1.0	5,454.3
	female	24	776.4	24.1	6,092.6	817.7	0.7	4,177.8
1996	male	15	794.0	29.0	6,269.6	661.6	0.4	1,687.0
	female	44	812.9	31.3	7,326.1	1,380.1	1,3	9,210.0
1995	male	3	787.7	29.1	6,466.7	1,072.8	0.1	92.0
	female	30	854.8	24.0	8,413.2	1,000.8	0.9	7,211.3
1994	male	3	806.3	110.9	7,333.3	3,651.8	0.1	313.0
	female	21	878.3	29.1	8,945.0	1,283.4	0.6	7700.4
1003	male	1	810.0		6,100.0		0.0	174.3
	female	19	918.5	53.7	11,525.2	3,010.5	0.5	6,256.5
1992	female	10	955.2	30.7	12,296.6	2,079.1	0.3	3,513.3
1091	female	3	1,005.0	37.4	13,783.3	340.3	0.1	1,181.4
القاناة	female	1	1,010.0		13,800.0		0.0	394.3
40.00	female	1	1,062.0		18,950.0		0.0	541.4
0.077	female	1	1,120.0		18,850.0		0.0	538.6
10.85	female	1	1,182.0		26,000.0		0.0	742.9

Table 5. Summary of the season mean (30 March - 3 May) catch rates and ages, by sex, from the pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1993-2005. M = male, F = female.

		CPUE (I	īsh/day)	: CPUÉ	(g/day)	Mean age		
Year	п	M	F	M	F	M_{\odot}	F	
2005	617	12.7	4.9	26,463.2	38,962.0	4.5	9.7	
2004	951	23.5	8.3	58,561.9	65,437.0	5.3	9.4	
2003	470	9.4	6.2	22,767.3	53,560.9	5.2	9.5	
2002	170	3.5	1.8	7,057.2	11,422.9	4.6	7.8	
2001	577	15.2	3.4	24,193.2	26,298.6	4.3	9.1	
2000	1,508	37,4	1.9	42,233.1	14,704.5	3.7	8.8	
1999	836	27.7	2.1	31,370.7	16,821.7	3.7	9.9	
1998	401	10.3	4.0	15,598.6	32,930.6	4.0	9.5	
1997	406	14.4	5.9	22,400.0	49,700.0	4.0	9.2	
1996	430	10.1	2.2	14,300.0	9,400.0	3.9	7.9	
1995	363	11.2	3.3	13,500.0	20,000.0	3.3	7,2	
1994	375	8.4	5.4	17,400.0	30,900.0	4.5	7.2	
1993	565	14.4	7.3	31,400.0	37,500.0	4.6	6.9	
Mean	589.9	15.2	4.4	25,172.7	31,356.8	4.3	8.6	

Table 6. Numbers of striped bass in three age categories (year classes 2001-2003, 1997-2000 and 1987-1996) from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, by sampling date, spring, 2005. M = male, F = female.

			Year Class									
Date	n	2001 - M	· 2003 F	1997 . M	- 2000 F	1987 M	-1996 F	Not M	aged F			
31 March	25	15	0	8	1	1	0	0	0			
4 April	27	9	0	14	0	2	2	0	0			
√7 April	34	21	0	6	2	2	1	2	0			
fl Ápril	14	5	0	6	0	0	3	0	0			
14 April	37	20	0	13	1	1	2	0	0			
18 April	53	33	0	15	2	0	3	0	0			
21 April	77	45	0	26	0	2	2	2	0			
25 April	21	4	0	11	0	1	5	0	0			
28 April	33	10	0	20	2	0	1	0	0			
2 May	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	. 0	0			
Total	322	162	0	120	8	9	19	4	0			

Table 7. Summary of catch rates and mean ages of striped bass (n=322) from the two gill nets in the Rappahannock River, spring 2005. Values in bold are grand means for each column.

		CPUE (ish/day)	:CPUE	(g/day)	Mea	n age
Date	n	M	F	$\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{F}}^{(n)}M_{\mathcal{F}}$	F .	M	.
31 March	25	24.0	1.0	39,269.8	5,834.2	4.6	8.0
4 April **	27	25.0	2.0	59,897.5	16,350.3	5.4	9.5
S.7 April	34	31.0	3.0	55,397.2	16,189.4	4.3	8.0
11-April	14	11.0	3.0	25,030.7	24,075.1	5.0	9.0
414 April	37	34.0	3.0	72,650.0	27,850.0	4.8	10.1
18 April	53	48.0	5.0	71,591.7	35,893.3	4.3	9.0
21 April	77	75.0	2.0	115,438.3	16,102.7	4.6	11.0
25 April	21	16.0	5.0	44,800.7	34,622.1	5.9	9.6
28 April	33	30.0	3.0	67,469.0	21,655.9	5.2	8.7
2 May	1	1.0	0.0	5,200.0	0.0	8.0	
Season	322	29.7	2.7	55,674.5	19,857.3	4.8	9.2

Table 9. Mean fork length (mm), weight (g), standard deviations (SD) and CPUE (number per day; weight per day) of striped bass from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 2005.

Year			. Fork L	ength .	. Wei	ght -	CPUE		
Class	Sex	n	Mean	SD	Mean	ŠD	F/day	- W/day	
2003	male	4	286.5	11.0	289.7	31.4	0.4	115.9	
2002	male	40	367.4	36.3	627.7	193.1	4.0	2,510.9	
2001	male	118	454.4	25.7	1,224.8	223.8	11.8	14,207.7	
2000	male	65	514.2	29.6	1,826.4	339.0	6.5	11,872.5	
	female	1	501.0		1,911.6		0.1	181.2	
1999	male	24	597.8	23.5	3,027.5	463.7	2.4	7,267.0	
7.1	female	2	623.5	26.1	3,473.7	494.6	0.2	694.7	
1998	male	17	648.7	50.0	3,783.4	959.0	1.7	6,431.7	
	female	2	738.5	10.6	5,461.8	336.9	0.2	1,092.4	
1997	male	14	735.3	52.7	5,303.9	948.5	1.4	7,425.4	
	female	4	786.3	38.6	6,453.7	989.2	0.4	2,581.5	
1996	male	7	724.0	58.8	5,217.2	1,095.2	0.7	3,652.0	
	female	8	802.8	38.8	7,073.3	1,639.7	0.8	5,658.5	
1995	male	1	834.0		8,050.0		0.1	805.0	
	female	3	852.0	27.6	8,546,6	1,075.7	0.3	2,562.8	
1994	male	1	707.0		4,515.1		0.1	451.5	
	female	3	888.7	28.0	10,488.3	1,286.7	0.3	3,146.5	
1993	female	3	854.7	66.1	8,999.0	2,815.2	0.3	2,699.7	
1992	female	1	933.0	·	12,300.0		0.1	1,230.0	
N/A	male	4	491.8	140.2	1,909.1	1,583.3	0.1	763.6	

N/A: not ageable

Table 10. Summary of the season mean (30 March - 3 May) catch rates and mean ages, by sex, from the experimental gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 1993-2005. M = male, F = female.

		CPUE (í	īsh/day)	CPUE	(g/day)	Меан аде		
Year	n	M	*, F	M	F	\mathbf{M}_{\pm}	F	
2005	322	29.7	2.7	55,674.5	19,857.3	4.8	9.2	
2004	827	79.3	7.8	170,528.8	58,098.9	4.8	8.7	
2003	525	52.0	3.3	98,466.7	20,716.8	4.5	8.0	
2002	323	24.5	7.8	53,606.9	40,727.5	4.8	7.0	
2001	622	58.1	4.1	86,827.2	31,011.3	4.3	8.3	
2000	493	47.8	3.1	64,955.7	18,196.0	3.8	7.5	
1999	671	64.8	2.3	55,997.3	13,331.0	3.3	7.2	
1998	603	57.1	2.9	65,500.0	12,200.0	3.9	7.3	
1997	824	80.6	1.8	103,600.0	14,100.0	4.0	7.8	
÷ 1996 -	498	45.2	4.6	54,300.0	26,600.0	3.6	6.6	
1995	226	15.6	7.0	45,600.0	47,700.0	4.7	7.0	
1994	516	41.5	10.1	82,700.0	54,900.0	4.7	6.9	
1993	527	36.6	16.0	66,900.0	56,500.0	4.9	6.3	
Mean	554.6	50.3	5.9	79,081.9	32,840.1	4.3	7.4	

Table 11. Numbers of striped bass in three age categories (year classes 2001-2003, 1997-2000 and 1987-1996) from gill nets in the James River by sampling date, spring, 2005. M = male, F = female.

			Year Class								
Date	n	2001 - M	2003 F	1997 M	2000 F	i987 M	- 1996 F	Not M	aged F		
31 March	120	71	0	46	1	1	0	1	0		
4 April	52	30	0	16	0	1	3	2	0		
7 April	116	46	0	59	1	4	2	4	0		
LI April	88	42	0	37	2	2	3	2	0		
LA April	49	24	0	20	1	3	1	0	0		
18 April .	60	32	0	26	1	0	1	0	0		
21 April	99	55	1	34	2	2	4	1	0		
25 April	24	6	0	15	1	1	0	1	0		
28 April	25	12	1	9	3	0	0	0	0		
2 May	187	120	0	59	1	3	1	3	0		
Total	820	438	2	321	13	17	15	14	0		

Table 12. Summary of catch rates and mean ages of striped bass (n=820) from the gill nets in the James River, spring 2005. Values in bold are grand means for each column. M = males, F = female.

me de la companya de		CPUE (f	isli/day)	CPUE	(g/day)	Mean age	
Date	n P	M	F	М	F	M	F
31 March	120	119.0	1.0	214,099.5	7,494.7	4.3	8.0
4 April	52	49.0	3.0	117,079.0	38,418.3	5.0	11.3
:7April	116	113.0	3.0	254,628.9	27,236.8	5.0	9.7
11 Åpril	88	83.0	5.0	174,341.1	38,025.5	4.7	9.4
14 April	49	47.0	2.0	93,638.8	16,454.9	4.7	8.5
18 April	60	58.0	2.0	102,109.5	15,455.1	4.4	8.0
21 April	99	92.0	7.0	157,829.9	43,459.1	4.5	8.1
25 April	24	23.0	1.0	51,230.5	2,971.1	5.2	6.0
28 April	25	21.0	4.0	38,052.2	14,526.1	4.8	6.3
2 May	187	185.0	2.0	276,617.7	11,817.3	4.4	8.5
Total	820	79.0	3.0	147,962.7	21,585.9	4.6	8.5

Table 13. Length frequencies (TL in mm) of striped bass sampled from the experimental gill nets in the James River, spring 2005.

TL	'n	ŤL	'n	TL	'n	TL:	n	TL:	n	TL	'n
300-	4	460-	39	620-	11	780-	5	940-	2	1100-	1
310-	3	470-	44	630-	22	790-	7	950-	2	1110-	1
320-	2	480-	27	640-	9	800-	4	960-	0	1120-	0
330-	4	490-	19	650-	6	810-	2	970-	0	1130-	0
340-	7	500-	26	660-	15	820-	3	980-	0	1140-	0
350-	3	510-	31	670-	10	830-	4	2990_	0	1150-	0
-360-	3	520-	26	680-	7	840-	3	1000-	1	1160-	0
370-	6	530-	23	690-	5	850-	2	1010-	0	1170-	0
380-	6	540-	28	700-	5	860-	0	1020-	0	1180-	0
-390-	13	350±	32	710-	4	870-	1	1030-	0	1190-	0
400-	12	-560-	24	720-	5	880-	1	1040-	1x	1200-	0
410-	34	570-	28	730-	3	890-	2	1050-	0	1210-	0
420-	20	580-	32	740-	0	900-	3	1060-	0	1220-	0
430-	37	5904	24	750-	2	910-	0	1070-	0	1230-	0
- 440-	31	600-	18	760-	2	920-	2	1080-	0	1240-	0
450-	40	610-	20	770-	2	930-	0	1090-	0	1250-	0

Table 14. Mean fork length (mm), weight (g), standard deviations (SD) and CPUE (number per day; weight per day) of striped bass from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 2005.

Year			ForkL	ength	Wei	ght 🖟 🧢	È CPUE		
Class	Sex	n	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	F/day	W/day	
2003	male	9	295.3	12.2	339.6	60.5	0.9	305.6	
2002 -	male	147	383.0	30.2	766.9	183.1	14.7	11,273.6	
2001	male	273	448.5	27.6	1,241.5	262.7	27.3	33,892.6	
	female	2	484.5	4.9	1,670.6	132.3	0.2	. 334.1	
2000	male	196	540.9	30.5	2,065.3	346.1	19.6	40,480.6	
	female	3	552.7	28.2	2,414.5	510.6	0.3	724.4	
1999	male	75	588.6	30.5	2,916.6	532.2	7.5	21,874.7	
	female	2	618.5	36.1	3,496.8	743.4	0.2	699.4	
1998	male	49	648.9	48.7	3,943.6	881.0	4.9	19,323.4	
	female	2	675.0	7.1	4,540.1	171.7	0.2	908.0	
1997	male	10	733.6	62.7	5,776.5	1,279.6	1.0	5,776.5	
	female	6	770.0	30.8	6,476.4	985.3	0.6	3.885.8	
1996	male	12	742.7	47.5	5,866.6	1,158.2	1.2	7,039.9	
	female	4	820.8	24.6	7,721.6	879.0	0.4	3,088.6	
1995	male	****	754.0		6,353.8		0.1	635.4	
	female	5	857.4	40.9	8,936.6	1,530.5	0.5	4,468.3	
1994	male	3	827.3		7,849.9	2,443,7	0.3	2,355.0	
	female	2	876.5	16.2	9,822.5	1,299.0	0.2	1,964.5	
1993	male	1	902.0		9050.0		0.1	905.0	
	female	2	898.5	61.5	11,338.4	3,260.4	0.2	2,267.4	
1992	female	1	1,040.0		17,474.0		0.1	1,747.4	
1991	female	1	1,044.0		15,018.6		0.1	1,501.9	
N/A	male	14	572.1	91.1	2,428.4	1,361.3	1.4	4,099.7	

N/A: not ageable

Table 15. Summary of the season mean (30 March - 3 May) catch rates and ages, by sex, from experimental gill nets in the James River, 1995-2005.

	10		CPUE (fi	sh/day)	······································	(g/day) 🗼 🔯	Mean age		
Year	mile	ņ	M	P	M 3	\mathbf{F}	M	F	
2005	62	820	79.0	3.0	147,962.7	21,585.9	4.6	8.5	
2004	62	1,447	127.0	4.5	207,183.6	31,237.6	4.4	8.6	
2003	62	639	132.4	8.7	234,255.6	55,043.2	4.5	7.6	
2002	62	824	81.4	10.1	173,663.8	47,591.2	4.7	6.4	
2001	62	1,050	98.1	6.9	181,512.7	41,347.7	4.4	7.2	
2000	62	1,437	139.6	4.1	241,966.4	20,396.6	4.3	6.7	
1999	55	482	25.3	22.9	45,886.4	103,362.7	4.3	6.3	
1998	55	199	14.9	7.2	33,000.0	46,500.0	4.7	7.5	
1997	55	160	11.1	6.7	23,900.0	44,600.0	4.9	7.8	
1996	55	183	10.9	7.4	23,800.0	43,500.0	4.8	7.4	
1995	55	419	24.0	22.6	52,400.0	125,300.0	4.4	6.7	
Mean		696.4	67.6	9.6	124,139.2	52,769.5	4.5	6.9	

Table 16. Values of the spawning stock biomass index (SSBI) for male and female striped bass, by gear, in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

			Pound ne	ts -		Gill nets					
	N		SŜI	31 (kg/da	ay)	N SSBI (kg/				day)	
Year	M	F	M	F	M+F	M	P	М	F	M+F	
2005	438	177	26.4	39.0	65.4	291	27	55.6	19.9	75.4	
2004	703	247	58.5	65.4	123.9	714	74	171.9	52.0	223.9	
2003	283	187	22.8	53.6	76.4	467	31	97.3	20.7	118.0	
2002	113	57	7.1	11.4	18.5	240	78	53.4	40.7	94.1	
2001	470	105	24.2	27.6	51.8	572	41	88.6	30.9	119.5	
2000	1,436	71	42.7	14.6	57.3	452	27	65.3	16.5	81.8	
1999	738	61	30.5	19.8	50.3	532	21	51.4	13.2	64.6	
1998	273	113	14.8	36.4	51.2	485	27	81.5	18.5	100.0	
1997	277	115	22.2	49.6	71.7	801	18	177.8	19.1	197.0	
1996	334	73	14.1	9.3	23.4	433	46	63.7	30.2	93.9	
1995	207	76	12.4	19.8	32.2	162	69	43.9	56.7	100.6	
1994	195	141	17.1	30.9	48.0	391	100	101.6	64.7	166.3	
1993	357	188	31.2	37.5	68.7	361	160	85.6	74.1	159.6	
1992	51	100	5.4	19.4	24.8	61	74	15.0	32.2	47.2	
1991	153	70	21.3	21.5	42.8	406	47	65.0	17.8	83.8	
Mean	402	119	23.4	30.4	53.8	425	58	81.2	33.8	115.0	

Values of the spawning stock biomass index (SSBI) calculated from gill net catches of male and female striped bass in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005. The 1994 data consisted of one gill net (GN # 1) and were adjusted by the proportion of the biomass that gill net # 2 captured in 1995-1998 (1.8 x GN #1 for males; 1.9 x GN #1 for females).

	River	The state of the state of			SSBI (kg/day)	5 25 25
Year	Mile	Male	Female	Male	Female	Combined
2005	62	781	30	147.66	21.59	169.25
2004	62	1,393	50	207.04	31.24	238.28
2003	62	590	43	145.74	35.20	180.94
2002	62	728	92	173.51	47.59	221.10
2001	62	978	68	181.40	41.31	222.71
- 2000	62	1,381	40	241.41	21.18	262.59
1999	55	251	211	45.81	101.98	147.79
1998	55	134	65	32.97	46.48	79.45
1997	55	100	60	23.89	44.59	68.48
1996	55	108	74	23.70	43.35	67.05
1995	55	210	202	52.10	125.15	177.25
1994	55	119	64	46.27	65.74	112.01
М	ean	564	83	110.13	52.12	162.25

Table 18. Predicted values of fecundity (in millions of eggs) of female striped bass with increasing fork length (mm), James and Rappahannock rivers combined.

FL-	Fecundity	FL	Fecundity	FIL	Fecundity	FL	Fecundity
400	0.125	600	0.446	800	1.099	1000	2.212
420	0.146	620	0.494	= 820 _	1.187	1020	2.354
440	0.168	640	0.546	840	1.280	1040	2.502
460	0.194	660	0.601	860	1.378	1060	2.656
480	0.221	680	0.660	880	1.482	1080	2.817
500 -	0.251	700	0.723	- 900	1.590	1100-	2.984
520	0.284	720	0.789	920	1.703	1120	3.157
540	0.320	740	0.860	940	1.822	1140	3.337
560	0.359	760	0.935	2960	1.947	1160	3.525
580	0.401	780	1.015	980	2.077	1180	3.719

Table 19. Total, age-specific, estimated total egg potential (E, in millions of eggs/day) from mature (ages 4 and older) female striped bass, by river and gear type, 30 March - 3 May 2005. The Egg Production Potential Indexes (millions of eggs/day) are in bold.

		1	James River								
Age	Pound Nets			l i	Gill Nets			GillNets			
.6	n	E	9/6	TI"	E	%	n	E	%		
4	1	0.005	0.08	0	0.000	0.00	2	0.046	1.42		
5	10	0.094	1.49	1	0.025	0.82	3	0.104	3.21		
- 6	2	0.029	0.46	2	0.101	3.30	2	0.099	3.05		
7	9	0.212	3.36	2	0.171	5.59	2	0.129	3.98		
8	24	0.688	10.92	3	0.290	9.49	6	0.587	18.10		
9	44	1.459	23.16	8	0.893	29.21	4	0.477	14.71		
10	30	1.162	18.44	3	0.402	13.15	5	0.686	21.15		
11	21	0.887	14.08	4	0.587	19.20	2	0.293	9.03		
-12	14	0.685	10.87	3	0.410	13.41	2	0.319	9.84		
13	10	0.549	8.71	1	0.178	5.82	1	0.250	7.71		
14	3	0.193	3.06	0	0.000	0.00	1	0.253	7.80		
-15	1	0.065	1.03	0	0.000	0.00	0	0.000	0.00		
16	1	0.076	1.21	0	0.000	0.00	0	0.000	0.00		
17	0	0.000	0.00	0	0.000	0.00	0	0.000	0.00		
18	1	0.090	1.43	0	0.000	0.00	0	0.000	0.00		
19	0	0.000	0.00	0	0.000	0.00	0	0.000	0.00		
20	1	0.107	1.70	0	0.000	0.00	0	0.000	0.00		
Total	172	6.301	100.00	27	3.057	100.00	30	3.243	100.00		

Table 20a. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year					CPUE	(fish/da	y)			
Class	1991	1992	-1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1998										0.03
1997									0.79	15.61
1996								0.19	11.54	18.13
1995							0.60	2.15	11.50	3.34
1994					0.04	0.51	3.90	6.33	2.79	0.11
1993					3.04	3.97	8.10	1.48	0.11	0.50
1992			0.12	1.44	4.80	2.86	1.25	0.04	0.50	0.50
1991		0.20	0.57	0.48	1.00	1.63	0.05	0.52	0.43	0.40
1990	0.42	0.50	1.04	1.33	2.24	1.26	0.70	0.70	0.32	0.29
1989	0.33	0.60	3.58	4.59	0.68	0.89	0.80	0.78	0.36	0.37
1988	3.58	1.60	9.54	2.22	0.60	0.37	1.50	0.89	0.39	0.05
1987	8.00	2.75	3.65	1.15	0.68	0.37	1.00	0.89	0.43	0.05
1986	2.67	1.15	0.65	0.59	0.40	0.09	1.00	0.22	0.04	0.00
1985	1.67	0.30	0.42	0.52	0.08	0.00	0.35	0.15	0.11	0.00
1984	0.50	0.40	0.58	0.33	0.28	0.00	0.35	0.07	0.04	0.00
1983	0.25	0.20	0.46	0.33	0.08	0.03	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00
>1983	0.75	0.45	0.73	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
\mathbf{N}/\mathbf{A}	0.58	0.30	0.38	0.56	0.60	0.32	0.50	0.44	0.54	0.32
Total	18.75	8.45	21.72	13.87	14.52	12.30	20.30	14.85	29.89	39.70

Table 20b. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year					CPUE (fish/day)
Class	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
2002					1.83
2/0/1				3.47	5.43
2000			0.76	5.57	2.77
1999	0.07	0.51	3.00	5.90	0.71
1998	2.74	1.44	3.33	3.50	0.77
1997	7.49	1.38	0.37	2.23	1.69
1996	4.29	0.25	1.83	4.16	1.69
1995	0.10	0.68	1.40	2.33	0.94
1994	0.58	0.41	1.70	1.67	0.69
1993	0.87	0.28	1.43	1.00	0.57
1992	0.87	0.19	1.13	1.10	0.29
1991	0.81	0.06	0.33	0.17	0.09
1990	0.45	0.00	0.27	0.07	0.03
1989	0.26	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.03
1988	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1987	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.03
N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.49
Total	18.63	5.23	15.65	31.71	17.63

Table 21a. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of male striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year	15 T				CPUE	(fish/da	y)			
Class	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1998										0.03
1997									0.79	15.61
<u>i996</u>								0.19	11.54	18.11
1995							0.55	2.15	11.46	3.21
1994					0.04	0.51	3.80	6.19	2.68	0.08
1993					2.88	3.83	7.50	1.37	0.07	0.26
1992			0.12	1.22	4.68	2.66	1.15	0.00	0.36	0.11
1991		0.15	0.54	0.48	0.92	1.34	0.05	0.30	0.21	0.05
1990 *	0.17	0.35	0.96	1.30	2.00	0.94	0.35	0.11	0.00	0.03
1989	0.17	0.40	3.46	3.52	0.08	0.43	0.55	0.04	0.04	0.03
1988	3.25	0.90	7.54	1.11	0.12	0.03	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00
1987	6.08	0.65	1.23	0.22	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1986	2.58	0.30	0.15	0.11	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1985	0.50	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1984	0.08	0.15	0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<1984	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
NIA	0.25	0.10	0.27	0.41	0.44	0.23	0.25	0.33	0.54	0.32
Total	13.08	3.05	14.39	8.45	11.20	10.06	14.40	10.68	27.69	37.84

Table 21b. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of male striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year					CPUE (fish/day)
Class	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
2002					1.83
2001				3.47	5.40
2000			0.76	5.47	2.49
1900	0.07	0.44	2.93	5.67	0.66
1998	2.74	1.38	3.07	3.37	0.51
1997	7.42	1.25	0.30	1.93	1.00
1996	4.03	0.25	1.50	2.23	0.43
1995	0.10	0.16	0.56	0.53	0.09
1994	0.39	0.03	0.23	0.20	0.09
1993	0.16	0.03	0.07	0.10	0.00
1992	0.19	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00
1991	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1990	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.40	0.46
Total	15.23	3.54	9.42	23.44	12.66

Table 22a. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of female striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year					CPUE	(fish/da	y) .			ring a second
Class	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
1997										
1996										0.03
1995							0.05	0.00	0.04	0.13
1994							0.10	0.15	0.11	0.03
1993					0.16	0.14	0.60	0.11	0.04	0.24
1992				0.22	0.12	0.20	0.10	0.04	0.14	0.40
1991		0.05	0.04	0.00	0.08	0.29	0.00	0.22	0.21	0.34
1990	0.25	0.15	0.08	0.04	0.24	0.31	0.35	0.59	0.32	0.26
1989	0.17	0.20	0.12	1.07	0.60	0.46	0.25	0.74	0.32	0.34
1988	0.33	0.70	2.00	1.11	0.48	0.34	1.30	0.89	0.39	0.05
1987	1.92	2.10	2.42	0.93	0.68	0.29	1.00	0.89	0.43	0.05
1986	1.08	0.85	0.50	0.48	0.36	0.09	1.00	0.22	0.04	0.00
1985	1.17	0.25	0.39	0.48	0.08	0.00	0.35	0.15	0.11	0.00
1984	0.42	0.25	0.50	0.33	0.28	0.00	0.35	0.07	0.04	0.00
1983	0.25	0.20	0.46	0.33	0.08	0.03	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00
≥ 1 983	0.58	0.45	0.73	0.26	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
N/A	0.25	0.20	0.12	0.15	0.16	0.09	0.25	0.11	0.00	0.00
Total	6.42	5.40	7.36	5.40	3.32	2.24	5.90	4.18	2.19	1.87

Table 22b. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of female striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year		200			CPUE (fish/day)
Class	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
2001					0.03
2000				0.10	0.29
1999		0.06	0.07	0.23	0.06
1998		0.06	0.27	0.17	0.26
1997	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.30	0.69
1996	0.26	0.00	0.37	1.93	1.26
1995	0.00	0.63	0.80	1.80	0.86
1994	0.19	0.38	1.47	1.47	0.60
1993	0.71	0.25	1.37	0.90	0.54
1992	0.68	0.19	1.13	1.03	0.29
1991	0.68	0.06	0.33	0.17	0.09
1990	0.45	0.00	0.26	0.07	0.03
1989	0.26	0.00	0.07	0.07	0.03
1988	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1987	0.00	0.03	0.03	0.00	0.03
N/A	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03
Total	3.40	1.79	6.24	8.24	4.97

Table 23a. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Year				1. 1.	* Survi	val (S)			15.1	
Class	91-92	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96	96-97	97-98	98-99	99-00	00-01
2001										
2000										
1999							**************************************			
1998										
1997								***************************************		0.480
1996										0.237
1995									0.290	0.914
1994								0.441	0.884	0.884
1993					·		0.183	0.993	0.993	0.993
1992					0.596	0.437	0.983	0.983	0.983	0.983
1991						0.869	0.869	0.869	0.869	0.869
a 1990 a					0.563	0.745	0.745	0.863	0.863	0.863
1989		All Markets		0.440	0.440	0.899	0.975	0.689	0.689	0.703
1988		-	0.233	0.877	0.877	0.877	0.593	0.438	0.506	0.506
1987	0.675	0.675	0.315	0.954	0.954	0.954	0.890	0.483	0.116	0.902
1986	0.431	0.972	0.972	0.972	0.972	0.972	0.220	0.182	0.000	
1985	0.678	0.678	0.678	0.876	0.876	0.876	0.429	0.733	0.000	***********
1984			0.881	0.881	0.881	0.881	0.200	0.571	0.000	
1983			0.717	0.846	0.846	0.846	0.000		*****	

Table 23b. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Year	Survival (S)		and the second
Class	01-02 02-03 03-0	1 04-05	Mean
2000		- 0.497	0.497
1999	·	- 0.120	0.120
1998		- 0.408	0.408
3-19 9 7	0.668 0.668 0.68	8 0.220	0.501
1996	0.990 0.990 0.99	0 0.758	0.705
1995	0.914 0.914 0.91	4 0.403	0.659
1994	0.884 0.884 0.98	2 0.413	0.729
1993	0.993 0.993 0.69	9 0.570	0.718
1992	0.983 0.983 0.97	3 0.264	0.757
1991	0.638 0.638 0.51	5 0.529	0.724
1990	0.775 0.775 0.25	9 0.429	0.650
1989	0.646 0.646 0.64	6 0.429	0.633
1988	0.000		0.516
1987	0.902 0.902 0.90	2 0.902	0.670
1986			0.581
-1985			0.621
1984		- *****	0.571
1983		**	0.610

Table 24a. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of male striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Year					Survi	val (S) =	, and a second			
Class	91-92	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96	96-97	97-98	98.99	99-00	00-01
2001		7.9								
2000			·····					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1999										
1998			······································					WW.		
1997			<u> </u>		<u></u>					0.475
- 1996						***************************************				0.223
1995	***************************************							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.280	0.559
1994								0.433	0.381	0.381
1993							0.183	0.436	0.436	0.615
1992					0.568	0.432	0.560	0.560	0.726	0.726
1991						0.473	0.473	0.700	0.787	0.787
1990					0.470	0.372	0.314	0.522	0.522	0.000
11989				0.539	0.539	0.539	0.270	0.270	0.750	0.000
1988			0.147	0.565	0.565	0.565	0.000			
1987	0.450	0.450	0.179	0.640	0.640	0.000			**	w ··· ···
1986	0.116	0.500	0.733	0.364	0.000		****		- 14 W air lai 40	
1985	0.100	0.894	0.894	0.000	***************************************			****	***	No. 465 194 330 486
1984		0.533	0.000	*****		This art set are during	*****	,==		

Table 24b. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of male striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Year	Survival (S)		
Class	01-02 02-03 03-04	04-05	Mean
2001	·		Made Affer also what when shows
2000		0.455	0.455
1999	W = 4 to 4 to 4	0.116	0.116
1998		0.151	0.151
1997	0.638 0.638 0.638	0.518	0.577
1996	0.821 0.821 0.821	0.193	0.474
1995	0.559 0.559 0.946	0.170	0.446
1994 -	0.768 0.768 0.870	0.450	0.546
1993	0.855 0.855 0.855	0.000	0.496
₂ 1992	0.716 0.716 0.716	0.000	0.554
.1991	*****	***	0.508
1990		*****	0.353
1989		==	0.395
1988		**	0.345
1987			0.372
1986		**	0.317
1985			0.409
1984		**	0.238

Table 25a. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of female striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Year			4		Surviv	al (S)		S On S		
Class	91-92	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96	96-97	97-98	98-99	99-00	00-01
1998										
21997					***************************************			~		-
1996										
i foos				***************************************			***************************************		······································	
1994										
1993										
1992										
1991						***************************************				
T990°			······································	MM-1				0.914	0.914	0.914
1989	***************************************			0.912	0.912	0.912	0.912	0.678	0.678	0.765
, 1988			0.898	0.898	0.898	0.898	0.685	0.438	0.506	0.506
1987		····	0.802	0.802	0.802	0.802	0.890	0.483	0.116	0.902
1986	0.987	0.987	0.987	0.987	0.987	0.987	0.220	0.181	0.000	
1985	0.743	0.743	0.743	0.900	0.900	0.900	0.429	0.733	0.000	
1984			0.914	0.914	0.914	0.914	0.200	0.571	0.000	
1983			0.717	0.846	0.846	0.846	0.000			

Table 25b. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of female striped bass sampled from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

. Year	Survival (S)	
Class	01-02 02-03 03-04 04-05	Mean
1998	0.981 0.981	0.981
(61977		
1996	0.653	0.653
1995	0.477	0.477
1994	0.408	0.408
1993	0.657 0.600	0.628
1992	0.919 0.282	0.509
1991	0.697 0.697 0.515 0.529	0.603
1990	0.760 0.760 0.269 0.429	0.653
1080	0.646 0.646 0.646 0.429	0.723
1988	0.000	0.607
1987	0.902 0.902 0.902 0.902	0.693
1986		0.646
1985		0.649
1984		0.587
1983		0.610

Table 26a. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year					CPUE (fish/day	()			
Class	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
2000										
1999										
1998										1.47
1997			***						11.70	18.11
1996								0.11	35.80	21.26
1995							0.83	11.67	10.60	5.79
1994						1.90	29.50	32.78	3.20	1.79
1993					4.50	20.00	83.00	7.00	0.80	2.00
1992				2.78	7.00	11.40	14.33	0.78	1.20	0.63
1991			0.50	2.56	1.88	5.70	2.83	1.33	0.50	0.32
1990	0.12	0.56	1.50	8.22	7.75	3.50	2.17	0.33	0.10	0.21
1989	1.41	0.78	8.60	27.56	4.50	2.50	0.67	0.33	0.20	0.11
1988	9.53	1.89	25.40	8.22	2.88	1.50	1.17	0.33	0.20	0.11
1987	23.65	5.89	10.40	2.11	1.75	1.60	0.50	0.11	0.10	0.00
1986	11.18	3.33	1.60	0.44	1.38	0.30	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00
1985	4.12	1.22	0.40	1.67	0.75	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00
1984	1.64	0.78	0.40	0.67	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1983	0.35	0.11	1.30	0.56	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
31083	0.47	0.44	0.60	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
N/Å	0.82	0.00	1.10	2.33	1.00	1.20	2.50	2.00	2.50	0.11
Total	53.29	15.00	51.80	57.34	33.77	49.80	137.50	57.00	67.10	51.91

Table 26b. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year					CPI	JE (fish/day)
Class	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	
2003					0.40	
2002				4.10	4.00	
2001			2.70	21.78	11.80	
2000		0.50	8.80	16.22	6.60	
1999	0.90	1.10	16.00	10.74	2.40	
1998	9.50	8.80	12.60	10.00	1.90	
1997	27.00	10.20	4.60	10.32	1.40	
1996	17.70	4.60	4.20	7.58	1.30	
1995	2.10	3.50	1.60	2.74	0.20	·
1994	1.50	1.20	1.30	1.68	0.30	
leog	1.00	1.00	0.50	0.64	0.10	
1992	1.10	0.30	0.00	0.42	0.10	
1601	0.90	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1990	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	
1989	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1988	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1987	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1986	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1985	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
N/A	0.20	0.80	0.10	0.84	0.40	
Total	62.40	32.30	52.50	87.06	32.20	

Table 27a. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of male striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year					CPUE	(fish/d	ay)			Section of the sectio
Class	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
2000 -										
1999										
1998										1.47
1997									11.60	18.11
1996								0.11	35.70	20.95
1995			······································				0.83	11.67	10.60	5.68
1994				Marie (1844)	······································	1.90	29.50	32.56	2.60	1.26
1993					4.50	20.00	82.67	6.44	0.60	1.37
1992				2.78	6.88	11.30	14.00	0.56	0.90	0.11
1991			0.50	2.56	1.75	5.60	2.50	0.67	0.30	0.00
1990	0.12	0.44	1.50	8.22	7.00	3.20	1.83	0.22	0.00	0.00
1989	1.29	0.78	8.30	25.33	2.63	1.40	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00
1988	9.41	1.33	20.30	4.89	1.13	0.50	0.17	0.00	0.10	0.00
1987	22.82	2.78	4.20	0.33	0.13	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00
1986	10.23	1.22	0.90	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1985	2.35	0.11	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1984	0.71	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<1984	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
N/A	0.82	0.00	0.80	1.56	0.88	1.20	2.50	1.78	2.30	0.11
Total	47.75	6.77	36.70	46.22	24.90	45.20	134.50	54.00	64.80	49.06

Table 27b. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of male striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year					CPUE (fish/day)	
Class	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	Electric Programmes
2003					0.40	
2002				4.10	4.00	
2001			2.70	21.78	11.80	
2000		0.50	8.80	16.00	6.50	
1999-	0.90	1.10	15.90	10.52	2.40	
1998	9.40	8.70	12.10	9.68	1.70	
1997	27.00	8.80	4.30	9.68	1.30	
1996	17.00	3.30	3.80	5.68	0.70	
1995	1.90	1.40	1.20	0.64	0.10	
1994	1.30	0.20	0.40	0.32	0.10	
1993	0.40	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1992	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1991	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1990	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1989	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
N/A	0.20	0.80	0.10	0.84	0.40	
Total	58.10	25.00	49.30	79.24	29.50	

Table 28a. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of female striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year		10.15			CPUE	(fish/da	y)			
Class	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
2000										
1999		······								
1998										
1997			hitana e e e mand e milita e m						0.10	0.00
1996								······································	0.10	0.32
1995		······································						·	0.00	0.11
1994								0.22	0.60	0.53
1993							0.33	0.56	0.20	0.63
1992					0.25	0.10	0.33	0.22	0.30	0.53
1991					0.13	0.10	0.33	0.67	0.20	0.32
1990		0.11	0.00	0.00	0.75	0.30	0.33	0.11	0.10	0.21
1989	0.12	0.00	0.30	2.22	1.88	1.10	0.17	0.33	0.20	0.11
1988	0.12	0.56	5.10	3.33	1.75	1.00	1.00	0.33	0.10	0.11
1987	0.82	3.11	6.20	1.78	1.63	1.50	0.50	0.11	0.00	0.00
1986	0.94	2.11	1.70	0.33	1.38	0.30	0.00	0.22	0.00	0.00
1985	1.76	1.11	0.40	1.33	0.75	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.00
1984	0.94	0.67	0.30	0.56	0.25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1983	0.35	0.11	1.30	0.56	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
>1083	0.47	0.44	0.50	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
N/A	0.00	0.00	0.30	0.78	0.13	0.00	0.00	0.22	0.20	0.00
Total	5.52	8.22	16.10	11.11	9.03	4.60	3.00	3.00	2.30	2.87

Table 28b. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of female striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year				7	CPUE (fish/day)
Class	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
2000				0.22	0.10
1900			0.10	0.22	0.00
1998	0.10	0.10	0.50	0.32	0.20
1997	0.00	1.40	0.30	0.64	0.10
1996	0.70	1.60	0.40	1.90	0.60
1995	0.20	2.10	0.40	2.10	0.10
1994	0.20	1.00	0.90	1.36	0.20
1993	0.60	0.80	0.50	0.64	0.10
1992	1.10	0.30	0.00	0.42	0.10
1991	0.90	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00
1990	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00
1989	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1988	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1987	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
N/A	0.00	0.80	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	4.10	8.40	3.20	7.62	2.70

Table 29a. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Year					Surviva	aL(S)				
Class	91-92	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96	96-97	97-98	98-99	99-00	00-01
1999										
1998										
1997										
1996	·.	-							0.594	0.833
± 19 9 5								0.908	0.546	0.777
1994								0.098	0.559	0.984
1993							0.084	0.535	0.535	0.707
1002							0.289	0.289	0.957	0.957
1991						0.496	0.470	0.878	0.878	0.878
1990				0.943	0.452	0.620	0.152	0.798	0.798	0.781
1989				0.163	0.556	0.268	0.500	0.606	0.550	0.909
1988			0.324	0.350	0.521	0.780	0.282	0.606	0.550	0.000
1987	0.663	0.663	0.203	0.829	0.914	0.313	0.220	0.969	0.969	0.969
1986	0.298	0.480	0.928	0.928	0.217	0.856	0.856	0.000	******	AND INC. 2012 ANY 4170 4194
1985	0.740	0.740	0.740	0.449	0.802	0.802	0.802	0.802	0.802	0.802
1984	0.476	0.927	0.927	0.373	0.000		*******			anh ens was shel Alle HH
1983			0.431	0.232	0.000	*** *** *** *** *** ***				

Table 29b. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Year	Survival (S)		2. 7		
Class	01-02 02	-03	03-04	04405	Mean
2001	****			0.542	0.542
2000			***************************************	0.407	0.407
1999			0.671	0.223	0.387
1998			0.794	0.190	0.388
1997	0.726 0.	.726	0.726	0.136	0.478
-1996	0.754 0.	.754	0.754	0.172	0.576
1995	0.777 0.	.884	0.884	0.073	0.559
1994	0.984 0	.984	0.984	0.179	0.512
1993 -	0.707 0	.800	0.800	0.156	0.431
1992	0.725 0	.725	0.725	0.238	0.537
1991	0.333 0	0.000	*		0.528
1990	0.781 0).7 81	0.000	***	0.579
1989	0.000 -				0.418
1988					0.408
1987	0.000 -	*			0.570
1986					0.529
1985	0.000 -				0.659
1984					0.497
1983					0.208

Table 30a. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of male striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Year				11)	Surviva	ıI (S)				
Class	91-92	92-93	93-94	94_95	95-96	96-97	97-98	98-99	99-00	00-01
1998	e a grow sale (Na			- 16	ACC.					
1997		***************************************					 			
1996									0.587	0.811
1995								0.908	0.536	0.335
1994								0.080	0.707	0.707
1993							0.078	0.461	0.461	0.292
1992							0.254	0.254	0.122	0.000
1991						0.446	0.268	0.448	0.000	*******
1990				0.852	0.457	0.572	0.120	0.000	***************************************	
1989				0.104	0.532	0.357	0.000		******	
1988			0.241	0.231	0.442	0.340	0.767	0.767	0.000	which place colors about drawn cross
1987	0.429	0.429	0.079	0.394	0.937	0.937	0.937	0.937	0.000	
1986	0.119	0.738	0.122	0.000	0.000	**************************************	****			MM. Upp Telp AMP attp. Ople
1985	0.520	0.520	0.520	0.000		***-		*****	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	**-**
1984	0.537	0.537	0.537	0.000		400 400 and 500 and 400		##+ W/ wik van van 104	= + + 4 + =	*************

Table 30b. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of male striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Year	Survival (S)				
Class	01-02	02-03	03-04	04-05	Mean
2002				0.976	0.976
2001				0.542	0.542
2000	W 40 90 90 90 90 90 90		***************************************	0.406	0.406
1999		144 646 vize 644 min ser	0.701	0.228	0.400
1998	*****		0.800	0.176	0.375
1997	0.710	0.710	0.710	0.134	0.468
1996	0.694	0.694	0.694	0.123	0.519
1995	0.737	0.857	0.533	0.156	0.507
1994	0.555	0.555	0.800	0.313	0.438
1993	0.500	0.000	*****	alle shir mair dans som som	0.283
1992	0.000	40 mm 100 top om sår		******	0.150
£1991-			## ## ## ## ## ##	****==	0.276
1990	all late tall and etc. the		**		0.366
1989		244 WA 444 WA 110 HE		***	0.231
1988	# 41 00 00 14 TH				0.373
1987		20° *** *** \$10° \$10° ***			0.520
1986	~ M M P 17 T				0.215
1985		** Au yo *** *** **		*******	0.369
1984		-0- AA WE OF HE HE		9 40 40 M 40 m	0.382

Table 31a. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of female striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Year					Surviv	at (S)				
Class	91-92	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96	96-97	97-98	98-99	99-00	00-01
1998						% AF			er (S	
1997										
1996										
1005								<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	·····	
1004										
1993		-								
1992										
1991										
1990					0.663	0.663	0.860	0.860	0.860	0.781
1989				0.847	0.585	0.548	0.548	0.606	0.550	0.909
1988			0.654	0.526	0.756	0.756	0.330	0.577	0.577	0.000
1987			0.287	0.916	0.920	0.333	0.220	0.969	0.969	0.969
- 1986		0.806	0.901	0.901	0.217	0.856	0.856	0.000		
1985	0.911	0.911	0.911	0.564	0.719	0.719	0.719	0.719	0.000	
1984	0.713	0.914	0.914	0.446	0.000					
1983			0.431	0.232	0.000	**		*		
1982		0.431	0.232	0.000						

Table 31b. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of female striped bass sampled from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 30 March - 3 May, 1991-2005.

Year	Survival (S)	
Class	01-02 02-03 03-04 04-0	5 Mean
2000	0.45	5 0.455
1999	0.00	0.000
=1998	0.640 0.62	5 0.632
1997	0.457 0.457 0.15	6 0.319
1996	0.31	6 0.316
1995	0.04	8 0.048
1994	0.14	7 0.147
1993	0.894 0.894 0.15	6 0.500
1992	0.725 0.725 0.725 0.23	8 0.549
1991	0.333 0.000	0.155
21090	0.781 0.781 0.000	0.669
1989	0.000	0.550
1988	0.000	0.501
1987	0.000	0.572
1986		0.604
1985		0.659
1984		0.554
1983		0.208
1982	******	0.200

Table 32a. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year				en e	CPLE	(fish/da	y)				
Class	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
2001										0.86	
2000									0.44	15.43	
1999	·							0.40	3.78	31.29	
1998							1.58	13.50	29.67	28.86	
1997-						0.20	21.58	42.40	39.33	8.00	
1996						9.10	73.26	32.60	11.00	2.86	
1995					1.22	10.30	38.32	8.40	2.56	1.57	
1994			0.10	1.55	7.11	11.70	11.05	2.60	1.11	0.57	
1903		0.67	1.70	4.44	5.22	6.10	2.10	1.60	0.89	0.86	
1992		4.33	2.90	3.33	3.00	2.90	1.37	1.00	0.89	0.28	
1991	2.40	9.00	4.50	2.00	1.67	2.20	0.63	1.50	0.22	0.14	
1990	12.40	11.11	3.10	2.00	0.78	1.40	0.42	0.50	0.11	0.14	
1989	12.00	9.78	2.60	0.89	1.11	1.20	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.14	
1988	3.20	2.67	1.00	1.44	0.78	0.40	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1987	0.80	2.67	1.00	1.11	0.67	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1986	0.80	1.78	0.80	0.33	0.11	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1985	0.80	1.22	0.30	0.22	0.11	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1984	1.20	0.78	0.20	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
> 984	1.20	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
N/A	0.80	2.00	0.20	0.33	0.33	1.30	0.74	0.50	1.56	0.28	
Total	35.60	46.56	18.40	17.78	22.11	48.20	151.27	105.00	91.56	91.28	

Table 32b. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year			CPUE (fish/day)
Class	2004	2005	and the second of the second o
2003		0.90	
2002	0.36	14.70	
2001	30.54	27.50	
2000	48.00	19.90	
1999	28.00	7.70	
1998	11.82	5.10	
1997	4.08	1.60	
1996	3.56	1.60	
1995	1.36	0.60	
1994	1.00	0.50	
1993	0.28	0.30	
1992	0.38	0.10	
1991	0.00	0.10	
1990	0.00	0.00	
1989	0.00	0.00	
1988	0.00	0.00	
1987	0.00	0.00	
N/A	2.36	1.40	
Tetal	131.56	82.00	

Table 33a. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of male striped bass sampled from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year	CPUE (fish/day)										
Class	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	
2001										0.86	
2000	-								0.44	15.43	
1999								0.30	3.78	31.29	
1998	·						1.58	13.50	28.89	26.00	
1997						0.20	21.47	41.90	35.56	7.57	
1996						7.30	72.74	31.00	8.33	2.57	
1995					1.22	8.00	37.05	7.60	2.00	1.00	
1994			0.10	1.56	6.78	5.20	10.53	1.70	0.67	0.00	
1993		0.67	1.70	3.89	3.78	2.50	1.68	1.10	0.11	0.14	
1992		4.22	2.80	2.33	1.67	1.10	1.16	0.20	0.00	0.00	
1991	2.40	7.89	3.60	1.44	1.00	0.10	0.00	0.40	0.00	0.00	
1990	10.60	6.33	1.50	1.33	0.22	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1989	8.00	2.33	0.70	0.44	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1988	1.40	0.56	0.30	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1987	0.00	0.44	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
1986	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
N/A	0.80	1.44	0.10	0.00	0.11	0.50	0.74	0.40	1.56	0.28	
Total	23.20	24.00	10.90	11.11	14.89	25.30	146.95	98.10	81.33	85.14	

Table 33b. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of male striped bass sampled from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year			CPUE (fish/day)
Class	2004	2005	
2003		0.90	
2002	0.36	14.70	
2001	30.54	27.30	
2000	47.82	19.60	
1999	27.64	7.50	
1998	10.46	4.90	
1997	3.90	1.00	
1996	2.28	1.20	
1995	0.54	0.10	
1994	1.00	0.30	
1993	0.00	0.10	
1992	0.10	0.00	
1991	0.00	0.00	
1990	0.00	0.00	
1989	0.00	0.00	
1988	0.00	0.00	
N/A	2.36	1.40	
Total	127.00	79.00	·

Table 34a. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of female striped bass sampled from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Year	· E		5 Edit		CPUE	(fish/da	y),		3 N - 773 - N - 274	
Class	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
2000					-					
1999								0.10	0.00	0.00
1998								0.00	0.78	2.86
1997							0.11	0.50	3.78	0.43
1996						1.80	0.53	1.60	2.67	0.28
1995						2.30	1.26	0.80	0.56	0.57
1994					0.33	6.50	0.53	0.90	0.44	0.57
1993				0.56	1.44	3.60	0.42	0.50	0.78	0.71
1992		0.11	0.10	1.00	1.33	1.80	0.21	0.80	0.89	0.28
1991		1.11	0.90	0.56	0.67	2.10	0.63	1.10	0.22	0.14
1990	1.80	4.78	1.60	0.67	0.56	1.10	0.42	0.50	0.11	0.14
1089	4.00	7.44	1.90	0.44	1.11	1.20	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.14
1988	2.20	2.11	0.70	1.33	0.67	0.30	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00
1987	0.80	2.22	0.90	1.11	0.67	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1986	0.80	1.67	0.80	0.33	0.11	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1985	0.40	1.22	0.30	0.22	0.11	0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1984	1.20	0.78	0.20	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1983	0.80	0.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
1982	0.40	0.22	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
N/A	0.00	0.56	0.10	0.33	0.22	0.80	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.00
Total	12.40	22.56	7.50	6.67	7.22	22.90	4.33	6.90	10.22	6.14

Table 34b. Catch rates (fish/day) of year classes of female striped bass sampled from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005. Maximum catch rate for each year class during the sampling period is in bold type.

Vear			CPUE (fish/day) (2.2.)
Class	2004	2005	
2001		0.20	
2000	0.18	0.30	
1999	0.18	0.20	
1998	0.36	0.20	
1997	0.18	0.60	
1996	1.28	0.40	
1995	0.82	0.50	
1994	1.00	0.20	
1993	0.28	0.20	
1992	0.28	0.10	
1991	0.00	0.10	
1990.	0.00	0.00	
1989	0.00	0.00	
1988	0.00	0.00	
1987	0.00	0.00	
1986	0.00	0.00	
N/A	0.00	0.00	
Total	4.56	3.00	

Table 35a. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from gill nets in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005.

Year	1			n ne	Sur	vival (S)			11-17-18-7 11-17-18-7	
Class	in the second	94-95	95-96	96-97	97-98	98-99	99-00	00-01	01-02	02-03	03-04
1999										-	0.970
1998										0.973	0.410
1997									0.928	0.203	0.510
1996								0.445	0.751	0.751	0.751
1995								0.219	0.305	0.613	0.866
11994							0.944	0.235	0.427	0.949	0.949
1993							0.344	0.762	0.556	0.966	0.591
1992			0.877	0.877	0.901	0.967	0.472	0.730	0.890	0.653	0.653
-1091			0.500	0.788	0.788	0.788	0.826	0.826	0.147	0.636	0.845
1990		0.896	0.279	0.645	0.837	0.837	0.598	0.598	0.529	0.529	0.000
#1989		0.815	0.266	0.773	0.773	0.773	0.584	0.584	0.584	0.584	0.000
1988					0.834	0.734	0.734	0.542	0.513	0.275	0.000
1987							0.645	0.645	0.948	0.948	0.000
1986							0.449	0.413	0.953	0.953	0.000
1985						2. W -2 111 W -2	0.245	0.733	0.500	0.909	0.000
1984						:		0.650	0.256	0.550	0.000
1983										0.413	0.000
1982										0.555	0.000

Table 35b. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of striped bass (sexes combined) sampled from gill nets (mile 62) in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005.

Year	Survival (S)	
Class	04-05	Mean
2001	0.900	0.900
2000	0.415	0.415
1999	0.275	0.516
1998	0.431	0.556
1997	0.466	0.460
1996	0.449	0.610
1995	0.441	0.435
1994	0.500	0.591
1993	0.591	0.607
1992	0.263	0.686
1991	0.845	0.638
- 1990 -	-	0.551
1989.		0.551
1988	****	0.491
1987	·	0.593
1986	***	0.508
1985		0.440
1984		0.347

Table 36a. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of male striped bass sampled from gill nets (mile 62) in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2004.

Year				Sur	vival (S)	nine ju	e dest		
Class	94-95	95-96	96-97	97-98	98-99	99-00	00-01	01-02	02-03	03-04
1999										0.883
1998									0.900	0.402
1997						<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	14** **********************************	0.849	0.213	0.515
:1996							0.426	0.269	0.309	0.887
1995							0.205	0.263	0.500	0.540
1994							0.161	0.843	0.843	0.843
1993				0.971	0.662	0.672	0.655	0.357	0.357	0.591
1992		0.663	0.833	0.717	0.833	0.833	0.172	0.794	0.794	0.794
1991				0.456	0.401	0.694	0.737	0.737	0.737	0.000
1990					0.597	0.237	0.887	0.474	0.474	0.000
1989							0.292	0.300	0.629	0.000
1988					0.400	0.535	0.606	0.606	0.909	0.000
1987						······································			0.227	0.000
1986										0.000

Table 36b. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of male striped bass sampled from gill nets (mile 62) in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005.

Year	Survival (S)	
-Class	04-05	Mean
2001	0.542	0.542
2000.	0.406	0.406
1999	0.228	0.449
1998	0.176	0.399
1997	0.134	0.334
1996	0.123	0.329
1995	0.156	0.296
1994	0.313	0.497
*1993		0.495
1992		0.562
1991		0.513
1990		0.417
1989		0.286
1988		0.482
1987	*****	0.108
1986		0.000

Table 37a. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of female striped bass sampled from gill nets (mile 62) in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005.

Year		al al		Sur	vival (S	i)	E S			100 100 200 200
Class	94-95	95-96	96-97	97-98	98-99	99-00	00-01	01-02	02-03	03-04
1990										
1998.										0.126
1997				·					0.608	0.608
1996									0.692	0.692
1995						0.548	0.898	0.898	0.898	0.898
1994						0.688	0.688	0.688	0.688	0.688
1993						0.601	0.601	0.601	0.910	0.394
~1992						0.791	0.791	0.791	0.561	0.561
1991						0.724	0.724	0.200	0.636	0.845
1990		0.335	0.883	0.883	0.883	0.674	0.674	0.529	0.529	0.000
1989		0.255	0.858	0.858	0.858	0.613	0.613	0.613	0.613	0.000
1988				0.960	0.795	0.795	0.504	0.448	0.367	0.000
1987						0.707	0.707	0.949	0.949	0.000
1986						0.479	0.413	0.953	0.953	0.000
1985						0.245	0.733	0.500	0.909	0.000
1984							0.650	0.286	0.550	0.000
1983									0.413	0.000
1982									0.550	0.000

Table 37b. Estimated annual and geometric mean survival (S) rates for year classes of female striped bass sampled from gill nets (mile 62) in the James River, 30 March - 3 May, 1994-2005.

Year	Survival (S)	
Class	04-05	Mean
1999		can value has seen with seen
1998	0.556	0.514
1997.	0.608	0.608
1996	0.313	0.531
1995	0.610	0.775
1994	0.200	0.560
1993	0.714	0.618
1992	0.357	0.618
1991	0.845	0.602
1990	******	0.571
1989		0.559
-1988		0.520
1987		0.617
1986		0.515
1985		0.440
1984		0.347
1983		0.189
1982		0.245

Table 38a. Comparison of the area under the catch curve (fish/day) of the 1987-2003 year classes of striped bass from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 1991-2005.

age	- -		a sa		year	class		187			
	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	7
2		,	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.7	1.5	0.3	0.3	0.1	
3		3.6	0.8	1.3	0.8	5.5	5.5	4.2	2.5	11.6	
4	8.0	5.2	4.4	2.6	1.8	8.4	13.6	10.5	14.0	29.8	
5	10.8	14.7	8.9	4.9	3.4	9.6	15.1	13.3	17.3	34.1	
6	14.4	16.9	9.6	6.1	3.5	9.7	15.2	13.4	17.4	34.3	
7	15.6	17.5	10.5	6.8	4.0	10.2	15.7	14.0	18.1	36.1	
- 8	16.2	17.9	11.3	7.5	4.4	10.7	16.6	14.4	19.5	40.3	
9.	16.6	19.4	12.1	7.8	4.8	11.5	16.8	16.1	21.8	42.0	
10	17.6	20.3	12.5	8.1	5.7	11.7	18.3	17.8	22.7		
3 11	18.5	20.7	12.8	8.6	5.9	12.9	19.3	18.4			
12	18.9	20.7	13.1	8.6	7.0	14.0	19.8	······································			
-13	19.0	20.8	13.1	8.9	8.1	14.3					
14	19.0	20.8	13.2	8.9	8.4						
1.15	19.0	20.8	13.2	9.0				***************************************			· .
16	19.0	20.8	13.3								
17	19.0	20.8						***************************************			
18	19.1										
19								***************************************			
20											
атеа	19.1	20.8	13.3	9.0	8.4	14.3	19.8	18.4	22.7	42.0	

Table 38b. Comparison of the area under the catch curve (fish/ day) of the 1987-2003 year classes of striped bass from pound nets in the Rappahannock River, 1991-2005.

age				1	year	class				mean
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003			
2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			0.3
3.	16.0	2.7	0.6	0.8	3.5	1.8				4.1
. 4	23.5	4.2	3.6	6.3	8.9	·				9.8
5	24.9	7.5	9.5	9.1						13.1
6	25.3	11.0	10.2							14.1
7 7	27.5	11.8								15.1
8	29.2									16.2
9										17.2
10										18.1
: 11										18.7
12										19.2
*5 13										19.5
14										19.6
::15										19.6
16			·							19.6
17										19.6
18										19.6
19									·	
2.20							·			
area	29.2	11.8	10.2	9.1	8.9	1.8	0.0			19.6

Table 39a. Comparison of the area under the catch curve (fish/ day) of the 1987-2003 year classes of striped bass from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 1991-2005.

age		in the second			year	class				15.	
	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	
2			0.7	0.3	0.3	1.4	2.3	1.0	0.4	0.1	
3		9.5	1.5	1.8	2.8	8.4	22.3	30.5	12.1	35.9	
4	23.7	11.4	10.1	10.0	4.7	19.8	105.3	63.2	22.7	57.1	
5	29.5	36.8	37.7	17.8	10.4	34.1	112.3	66.4	28.5	74.8	
6	39.9	45.0	42.2	21.3	13.2	34.9	113.1	68.2	30.6	79.4	
7	42.1	47.9	44.7	23.4	14.6	36.1	115.1	69.7	34.1	83.6	
8	43.8	49.4	45.3	23.8	15.1	36.7	116.1	70.9	35.7	91.2	
9	45.4	50.6	45.7	23.9	15.4	37.8	117.1	72.2	38.4	92.5	
10	45.9	50.9	45.9	24.1	16.3	38.1	117.6	73.9	38.6		
:11	46.0	51.1	46.0	24.2	16.6	38.1	118.2	74.2			
12	46.1	51.2	46.1	24.2	16.6	38.6	118.3				
13	46.1	51.2	46.1	24.3	16.6	38.7					
14	46.2	51.2	46.1	24.3	16.6						
, 15	46.2	51.2	46.1	24.3							
16	46.2	51.2	46.1								
17	46.2	51.2									
18	46.2										
19											
20											
area	46.2	51.2	46.1	24.3	16.6	38.7	118.3	74.2	38.6	92.5	· ·

Table 39b. Comparison of the area under the catch curve (fish/ day) of the 1987-2003 year classes of striped bass from gill nets in the Rappahannock River, 1991-2005.

age					year	class		(71)	mean
12.17.2	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003		in the second
2	5.9	0.7	0.5	0.3	1.4	2.1	0.2		1.2
3	24.0	10.2	1.6	9.1	23.1	6.1			13.3
4	51.0	19.0	17.6	25.3	34.9				32.7
5	61.2	31.6	28.3	31.9					43.4
- 6	65.8	41.6	30.7						47.8
. 7	76.1	43.5							50.8
8	77.5								52.4
+ 9									53.5
-10							·		54.0
11									54.2
12									54.3
13				·					54.3
14			·						54.3
15									54.3
16									54.3
17			·						54.3
18					·				54.3
19									
20									
area	77.5	43.5	30.7	31.9	34.9	6.1	0.2		54.3

Table 40a. Comparison of the area under the catch curve (fish/ day) of the 1987-2003 year classes of striped bass from gill nets in the James River, 1994-2005.

age					year	class				The same	
	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	
2						0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	
3		·			2.4	4.3	2.0	1.6	1.2	9.1	·
4	·	-		12.4	11.4	7.2	6.5	8.7	11.5	82.4	
5			12.0	23.5	15.9	10.6	11.7	20.4	49.8	115.0	
Ġ.		3.2	21.8	26.6	17.9	13.6	17.8	31.5	58.2	126.0	
2.7	0.8	5.9	24.4	28.6	19.6	16.5	19.9	34.1	60.8	128.8	
8	3.5	6.9	25.3	29.4	21.8	17.8	21.5	35.2	62.4	132.4	
9	4.5	8.3	26.4	30.8	22.4	18.8	22.4	35.7	63.7	134.0	
10	5.6	9.1	27.6	31.2	23.9	19.7	23.2	36.7	64.3		
38 (A) (A)	6.3	9.5	27.7	31.7	24.1	20.0	23.5	37.2			
12	7.3	9.6	27.7	31.8	24.3	20.4	23.8				
13	7.3	9.6	27.7	32.0	24.3	20.5					
14	7.3	9.6	27.8	32.0	24.4						
15	7.3	9.6	27.8	32.0							
16	7.3	9.6	27.8								
- 17	7.3	9.6									
18	7.3										
[9											
20		-								[-	
area	7.3	9.6	27.8	32.0	24.4	20.5	23.8	37.2	64.3	134.0	

Table 40b. Comparison of the area under the catch curve (fish/day) of the 1987-2003 year classes of striped bass from gill nets in the James River, 1991-2005.

age					year	class			un en	mean
	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	in the second		
2	0.1	0.8	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.5			0.2
3	21.7	14.3	4.0	15.7	31.0	14.9				10.2
4	64.1	44.0	35.3	63.7	58.5					35.1
5	103.4	72.8	63.3	83.6	·					54.7
6	111.4	84.6	71.0							61.5
7	115.5	89.7								64.2
8	117.1									65.9
9										66.9
10										67.8
11										68.3
12										68.6
13										68.7
14										68.7
- 15										68.7
- 16									!	68.7
17										68.7
18								·		68.7
. 19						***************************************				
20										
area	117.1	89.7	71.0	83.6	58.5	15.1	0.5			68.7

Table 41a. Back-calculated length-at-age (FL, in mm) for striped bass sampled from the James and Rappahannock rivers during spring, 2005.

Year		length-át-age (FL, in mm)										
Class	n	1	2.	3.5	4	5	6	7	8			
2003	8	155.7			·							
2002	9	149.9	274.2									
2001	16	148.4	261.2	360.5								
2000	19	142.6	256.8	364.7	452.2							
1999	7	144.2	261.2	377.5	468.0	540.0						
1998	23	128.6	229.5	325.1	414.6	491.7	556.1					
1997	24	139.4	246.9	349.0	448.1	540.8	622.7	689.7				
1996	47	138.0	245.3	344.3	438.4	525.8	604.4	674.0	735.6			
1995	34	148.0	252.8	354.9	450.8	540.1	621.3	699.9	765.6			
1994	26	137.1	234.2	327.1	413.3	496.4	577.1	649.7	716.7			
1993.	15	142.9	243.4	335.4	422.2	500.9	575.2	643.2	708.2			
1992	10	135.4	229.8	325.2	411.2	493.6	571.0	640.9	703.0			
1991	2	155.1	261.0	351.8	447.6	532.4	611.1	694.4	763.3			
1990	1	128.7	210.5	294.7	368.7	459.5	526.6	592.4	648.3			
1989	5	139.8	225.3	315.2	398.0	481.7	554.5	612.1	675.5			
all	246	141.1	246.1	344.1	434.4	517.7	594.3	670.9	731.7			

Table 41b. Back-calculated length-at-age (FL, in mm) for striped bass sampled from the James and Rappahannock rivers during spring, 2005.

Year				leng	th-at-age	(BL, in n	im)		
Class	n	9	10	i1	12	13	14	- 15	16
2003	8								
2002	9								
24(00)1	16								·
2000	19						·		
1999	7			·	:				
1998	23								
1997	24								
1996	47								
1995	34	819.1							
1994	26	772.3	824.1						
1093	15	771.1	829.1	873.9					
1992	10	765.4	824.2	857.2	919.1				
1991	2	818.6	875.9	927.4	969.4	1007.2			
1990	1	703.5	753.9	806.1	862.0	913.6	963.0		
1989	5	737.5	795.1	846.2	891.0	931.4	969.6	999.7	
all	246	786.8	823.5	871.3	913.8	948.1	968.5	999.7	

Table 42. Data matrix comparing scale (SA) and otolith ages for chi-square test of symetry. Values are the number of the respective readings of each combination of ages. Values along the main diagonal (methods agree) are highlighted for reference.

S										Itolit	h age									
A	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
-2	8																			
	3	3	3																	
4		1	10	5																
5			9	6	2	2														
6				2	2	2		1												
7					1	7	6	8	1											
.8						1	4	15	4											
ij.						1	1	38	5	2	2									
10							1	12	10	3	4	1	1							
11							1	6	6	4	8									
1									2	3	6	3				1				
13		-							1		5	4								
14													0	1	1					
15												1		0						
16															2		2			1
17																0				
																	0		1	
101																		0		
																ļ		1	0	
																				0

Table 43. Relative contributions of striped bass age classes as determined by ageing specimens (n = 247) by reading both their scales and ooliths.

Age		scale	otolith 💢					
	ñ	prop.	11	.prop				
2	8	.0323	11	.0445				
3	9	.0364	4	.0162				
4	16	.0648	22	.0891				
5	19	.0769	13	.0526				
6	7	.0283	5	.0202				
7	23	.0931	13	.0526				
8	24	.0972	13	.0526				
9	49	.1984	80	.3239				
3 10	32	.1286	29	.1174				
H	25	.1012	12	.0486				
12	15	.0607	25	.1012				
L L	10	.0405	9	.0364				
14	2	.0081	1	.0040				
15	1	.0040	1	.0040				
16	5	.0202	3	.0121				
i i	0	.0000	1	.0040				
.18	1	.0040	2	.0081				
\$10	0	.0000	1	.0040				
24	1	.0040	1	.0040				
- 1 - 1	0	.0000	0	.0000				
27	0	.0000	1	.0040				
	Ā	ge = 8.52	$\overline{A}ge = 8.67$					